



Paper Accepted\*

### ISSN Online 2406-0895

## Original Article / Оригинални рад

Biljana Stojanović<sup>1</sup>, Raša Medović<sup>2,†</sup>, Nela Đonović<sup>3</sup>, Zoran Leković<sup>4,5</sup>, Dragan Prokić<sup>6</sup>, Vladimir Radlović<sup>7</sup>, Stevan Jovanović<sup>1</sup>, Biljana Vuletić<sup>8</sup>

# Assessment of quality of life and physical and mental health in children and adolescents with coeliac disease compared to their healthy peers

Процена квалитета живота и менталног здравља у деце и адолесцената са

целијакијом и њихових здравих вршњака

<sup>1</sup>Higher Education School of Professional Health Studies, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Hematooncology, Pediatric Clinic, Clinical Centre Kragujevac, Serbia

<sup>3</sup> Department of Hygiene and Ecology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

<sup>4</sup> School of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>5</sup> Department of Gastroenterlogy, University Children's Hospital, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>6</sup>Department of Gastroenterlogy, Mother and Child Health Care Institute of Serbia "Dr Vukan Čupić", Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>7</sup> Department of Surgery, University Children's Hospital, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>8</sup> Department of Pediatrics Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac, Serbia,

Received: February 22, 2018 Accepted: April 5, 2018 Online First: April 13, 2018 DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH180222035S

When the final article is assigned to volumes/issues of the journal, the Article in Press version will be removed and the final version will appear in the associated published volumes/issues of the journal. The date the article was made available online first will be carried over.

<sup>†</sup> Correspondence to: Raša Medović Pediatric Clinic, Clinical Centre Kragujevac, 30 Zmaj Jovina Street, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia E-mail: rasamedovic@gmail.com

<sup>\*</sup> Accepted papers are articles in press that have gone through due peer review process and have been accepted for publication by the Editorial Board of the *Serbian Archives of Medicine*. They have not yet been copy edited and/or formatted in the publication house style, and the text may be changed before the final publication.

Although accepted papers do not yet have all the accompanying bibliographic details available, they can already be cited using the year of online publication and the DOI, as follows: the author's last name and initial of the first name, article title, journal title, online first publication month and year, and the DOI; e.g.: Petrović P, Jovanović J. The title of the article. Srp Arh Celok Lek. Online First, February 2017.

Процена квалитета живота и менталног здравља у деце и адолесцената са целијакијом и њихових здравих вршњака

#### SUMMARY

**Introduction/Objective** Strict gluten-free diet for life is the only treatment for patients with coeliac disease. Limited selection of food options can cause problems in acceptance by their peers and affect their quality of life.

The aims of this paper was to examine the subjective experience of the quality of life in children and adolescents with coeliac disease and obtain a comprehensive picture of physical and mental impairments and social functioning compared to their healthy peers.

**Methods** The investigation was conducted as a crosssectional study. It included 116 respondents aged 5–18 years with coeliac disease and 116 healthy children of similar age and gender. A Serbian version of Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) was used as an instrument for measuring of quality of life in children. Descriptive statistics were calculated to analyse all results, while T-test was used to compare them.

**Results** The mean value of total PedsQL score was lower in the coeliac disease patients  $(75.89\pm20.35)$  than in the controls  $(86.35\pm11.13)$ . Additionally, the experimental group reported lower all PedsQL Scale scores than the control group in the domains of psychosocial, school, social and emotional functioning. However, there was no statistically significant difference on the physical health scale. These results were the same in all age groups among both males and females.

**Conclusions** The disturbance of health-related quality of life in children and adolescents with coeliac disease is significant and the quality of life is lower if compared to their healthy peers.

Keywords: Coeliac disease, children, quality of life

INTRODUCTION

#### Сажетак

Увод/Циљ Строго контролисана и доживотна безглутенска дијета је лек за пацијенте са целијакојом. Ограничен избор хране им ствара проблеме у прихватању од вршњака и може имати утицај на квалитет живота и психички статус. Циљ овог рада је био да испита субјективан доживљај квалитета живота деце и адолесцента са целијакијом и добје целовиту слику о нарушености њиховог физичког и психичког здравља и социјалног функционисања у односу на здраве вршњаке. Методе Истраживање је спроведено по типу

**Методе** истраживање је спроведено по типу студије пресека. Обухваћено 116 испитаника узраста од 5–18 година са целијакијом и 116 здраве деце сличне према узрасту и полу. Српска верзија Педијатријског упитника о квалитету живота *PedsQL* је коришћена као инструмент мерења квалитета живота код деце. Дескриптивни подаци израчунати су за све резултате, док је т-тест коришћен да их упореди.

Резултати Средња вредност од укупног броја бодова на PedsQL тесту била је нижа у групи деце и адолесцената са целијакијом (75.89±20.35) него у контролној групи (86,35 ±11,13). Експериментална група је такође имала ниже резултате PedsQLтеста у односу на контролну групу у доменима психосоцијалног, школског, социјалног и емоционалног функционисања. Није нађена статистички значајна разлика у домену показатеља физичког здравља. Ови резултати су били исти код дечака и девојчице за све узрасте.

Закључак Квалитет живота повезан са здрављем деце и адолесцената са целијакијом значајно је нарушен у поређењу са здравим вршњацима. Кључне речи Целијакија, деца, квалитет живота

Coeliac disease is a chronic inflammatory disease of multifactorial aetiology which results from a polygenic predisposition and gluten exposure [1]. The only scientifically proven beneficial treatment is strict lifelong adherence to a gluten-free diet [2]. Limited selection of food options, illness-based social inequality if compared to others, illness-induced stress and the disease treatment can all affect the quality of life. Children, especially if they are ill, can show very intense emotional reactions. This can affect their social functioning because of their feelings of shame; children can avoid contacts and having meals with others and, on the other hand, their peers sometimes may have a problem in social acceptance of celiac patients [3, 4]. Health-related quality of life is a subjective feeling of the impact of health on various aspects of life and is focused on children's everyday functioning. However, one of the biggest problems in assessing the quality of life in children is the specificity of their age and whether "their opinion should be taken into account" [5]. Measurement of health and quality of life in children is very complex and associated with various methodological problems. Worldwide previous research indicates that the quality of life of a sick child is significantly influenced by social, psychological and emotional aspects, apart from the physical ones [6]. Developmental psychologists believe that children aged 5-7 years are able to answer specific questions about their pain, mood and feelings, likes and dislikes, fears induced by certain phenomena, anxiety and delight, while at the age of 9 or 10 years, they can perform self-assessment and analyse their behaviour [7, 8].

The aim of this paper was to investigate the difference between the subjective experience of the health-related quality of life in children and adolescents with coeliac disease and their healthy peers and to obtain a comprehensive picture of their physical and mental impairments and social functioning in comparison to their healthy peers.

#### **METHODS**

The investigation was conducted as a cohort, observational and cross-sectional study. The study included 116 subjects aged 5 to 18 years who had been diagnosed with coeliac disease and who complied with a gluten-free diet for at least a year. The control group consisted of 116 healthy respondents who meet the basic age and gender matching criteria with the examined group. The survey of children with coeliac disease was conducted within regular gastroenterological follow-ups. The selection of respondents for the control group was made using random sampling from the basic set of pre-school, primary and secondary school children from Belgrade. Children with coeliac disease and comorbidity (Hashimoto thyroiditis, Diabetes mellitus, etc.) were excluded from the study.

Paediatric Quality of Life Questionnaire (PedsQL) was the instrument employed for assessing the quality of life (QOL). There were different versions for three age groups (5-7, 8-12 and 13-18 years). Each version had 23 questions sorted into 4 categories, and answers were graded on a 5-point scale. The survey used a variety of Likert-scaled questions: 0–never, 1–almost never, 2–sometimes, 3–often, and 4–always. The scales were: Emotional functioning (8 questions), Social functioning (5 questions) and Physical functioning (5 questions). The questions from the first three scales gave the score of Psychosocial Health, while the last scale was the score of Physical Health. The mean values of results were converted to scale values from 0 to 100, where the higher score indicated better QOL. If more than 50% of the answers were missing, the result was not taken into account. PedsQL was culturally adapted and validated in the Serbian language [9, 10].

The questionnaires with brief instructions were given to children and adolescents to fill in, offering the assistance in completing if needed. Parents took an active part in the group of respondents

aged 5-7 years. The examination was carried out in the presence of the study authors, nurses and - in the control group - psychologists or pedagogues.

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all questionnaire scores. Independent samples *T*-test was used to compare PedsQL scores for various groups. The P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The amount of missing data was 2.6%.

The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Mother and Child Health "Dr Vukan Čupić" in Belgrade and the University Children's Hospital in Belgrade. According to the ethical requirements, all respondents were informed in writing about the study goals and use of the data obtained exclusively for scientific purposes, providing the guarantee of anonymity of all the received data and identity of the respondents.

#### RESULTS

The basic demographic data of the examined groups has been shown in Table 1.

 Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participnts.

Parameters	Celiac Disease $(n = 116)$	Control Group (n = 116)		
Age group, n (%)				
5–7 years	22 (19.0)	14 (12.1)		
8-12 years	44 (37.9)	48 (41.4)		
13–18 years	50 (43.1)	54 (46.5)		
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)				
Male	39 (33.6)	47 (40.5)		
Female	77 (66.4)	69 (59.5)		

The mean value of PedsQL total score was lower in the coeliac disease group than in the control group. Additionally, the coeliac disease group reported lower all PedsQL Scale scores than the control group. However, there was no statistically significant difference on the Physical health scale (Table 2).

<b>Fable 2. Childr</b>	en s and healthy	peers Pediatric	: Quality of Life Inv	ventory General Scale Scores.
------------------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------

DadaOI	Channe		CI 95%		р	
reusQL	Groups	X±SD	LL	UL	(t-test)	
Emotional functioning	Celiac disease	71.29±25.01	66.69	75.89	<0.05	
Emotional functioning	Healthy peers	84.66±15.40	81.82	87.49	<0.05	
Social functioning	Celiac disease	81.55±24.02	77.13	85.97	<0.05	
Social functioning	Healthy peers	93.97±9.88	92.15	95.78	<0.05	
School functioning	Celiac disease	73.81±24.04	69.32	78.29	<0.05	
School functioning	Healthy peers	88.28±13.69	85.76	90.79	<0.05	
Dhysiaal boolth	Celiac disease	76.75±25.31	72.10	81.41	0.100	
Physical health	Healthy peers	81.44±17.13	78.29	84.59	0.100	
Developerated boolth	Celiac disease	74.91±21.31	71.00	78.83	<0.05	
r sychosociar nearth	Healthy peers	88.97±10.74	86.99	90.94	<0.05	
Tatal	Celiac disease	75.89±20.35	72.14	79.63	<0.05	
10181	Healthy peers	86.35±11.13	84.30	88.39	<0.05	

Females scored PedsQL higher than males in both groups, with the exception noted in the Emotional functioning scale. Both, males and females from the control group tended to rate the PedsQL scores higher than the coeliac disease group. Among males, differences were statistically significant on four of six scores with no difference on the Emotional functioning and the Physical health scales. Among females, differences were significant on five of six scores with no difference on the Physical health scale (Table 3).

					accorung	to genuer.
PedsQL	Groups	Gender	x±SD	T test (p)	T <sub>Male</sub> (p)	T <sub>Female</sub> (p)
Emotional	Celiac	Male	73.08±24.86	0 5 9 7		
	disease	Female	70.39±25.21	0.387	0.100	<0.05
functioning	Healthy	Male	80.90±15.43	0.061		
	peers	Female	86.56±15.14	0.061		
	Celiac	Male	76.03±27.77	-0.05		
Social functioning	disease	Female	84.35±21.54	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Social functioning	Healthy	Male	93.59±9.10	0 772		
	peers	Female	94.16±10.30	0.772		
	Celiac	Male	68.78±25.75	<0.05		
Sahaal functioning	disease	Female	76.25±22.94	<0.05	<0.05	< 0.05
School functioning	Healthy	Male	84.74±18.03	<0.05		
	peers	Female	90.06±10.56		XX	
	Celiac	Male	74.48±28.29	<0.05		
Dhysical boolth	disease	Female	78.61±23.64	<0.05	0.693	0.065
Physical health	Healthy	Male	75.24±18.95	<0.05		
	peers	Female	79.58±15.32	<0.05		
	Celiac	Male	71.45±23.20	<0.05		
Psychosocial health	disease	Female	76.67±20.21	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	Healthy	Male	86.41±11.84	<0.05		
	peers	Female	90.26±9.97	<0.05		
Total	Celiac	Male	72.92±21.71	<0.05		
	disease	Female	77.39±19.61	~0.05	<0.05	< 0.05
	Healthy	Male	82.53±12.19	<0.05		
	peers	Female	88.28±10.10	<0.05		

Table 3. Children's and healthy peers Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory General Scale Scores

The coeliac disease group reported lower all PedsQL Scale scores than the control group across all ages, five of six scales were different. However, there was no difference on the Physical health scale in each age subgroup. Also, the biggest difference in each domain of quality of life was observed among the youngest age groups (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

Our study included more female participants, which is in line with the results of other surveys. Namely, the authors have noticed that female population is more likely to suffer from coeliac disease (1.3-2:1) [1, 11]. In our cohort, nearly 45% of children with coeliac disease belong to the older age group. This is understandable considering the easier cooperation with them, a longer period of treatment in most of them and a better understanding of the disease.

To our knowledge, this is the first study in Serbia to show the differences between the subjective experiences of quality of life dealing with the health of children with coeliac disease in comparison to their healthy peers. While considering individual QOL domains, the lower value can be noticed on five of six PedsQL scores, with the exception of physical functioning. This should be taken with a grain of salt, because, in most cases, the endangering of physical health is usually experienced only through some disabilities. On the other hand, the results of some studies indicate that the timely

diagnosis of the disease and gluten-free diet as coeliac treatment do not really affect physical health [11-15].

					acc	ording to age.
PedsQL	Groups	Age (years)	x±SD	$T_{5-7}(p)$	$T_{8-12}(p)$	$T_{13-18}(p)$
Emotional functioning	Caliaa	5–7	59.09±34.90			
	Cenac	8-12	74.55±23.10			
	uisease	13-18	73.80±19.89	<0.05	~0.05	-0.05
	Haalthy	5-7	81.36±18.85	<0.03	<0.05	-0.05
	neartily	8-12	89.55±13.29			
	peers	13-18	81.80±14.66			
	Calina	5–7	$74.55 \pm 30.04$			
	disease	8-12	85.23±19.97			
Social	uisease	13-18	81.40±24.16	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
functioning	Haalthy	5–7	90.45±11.74	-0.03	-0.05	-0.03
	nears	8-12	95.57±6.92			
	peers	13-18	94.10±10.96			_
	Celiac	5–7	47.89±29.36			
	disease	8-12	81.93±19.77			
School	disease	13-18	76.50±18.27	<0.05	~0.05	~0.05
functioning	Haalthy	5–7	88.18±16.22	-0.03	<0.03	~0.03
	nearthy	8-12	90.91±12.91			
	peers	13-18	86.00±13.01			
	Calina	5–7	79.30±32.40			
	disease	8-12	78.34±24.63			
Physical health	disease	13-18	77.88±22.66	0.251	0.387	0.300
i nysicai neaitn	Healthy	5–7	81.82±10.37	0.231	0.387	0.390
		8-12	82.46±19.47			
	peers	13-18	80.38±17.54			
	Colina	5–7	58.33±26.82			
	disease	8-12	80.57±18.74			
Psychosocial	uisease	13-18	77.23±17.06	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
health	Healthy	5–7	86.67±13.21	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03
	nearthy	8-12	92.01±9.47			
	peers	13-18	87.30±10.18			
Total	Celiac	5–7	65.29±26.56			
	disease Healthy peers	8-12	79.79±18.62			
		13-18	77.11±17.32	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
		5–7	84.98±11.10	<0.05	~0.03	~0.05
		8-12	88.69±11.52			
		13-18	84.89±10.66			

Table 4.	Children's and healthy	peers Pediatric	Quality of Life	Inventory Genera	l Scale Scores
				acc	ording to age.

Dietary restrictions in a gluten-free diet limit various daily social activities including travel and entertainment. They often have to explain why they should not consume gluten-containing food, which often attracts their peer's attention. Teenagers are expected to have more difficulties in adhering to diet due to their rebellious behaviour and the pressure and influence of the society, especially when they have meals at school, visit their friends, go to parties and excursions, etc. There are also difficulties in purchasing gluten-free products; they are often not sufficiently secure and easily accessible, and their price is still a burning issue. All this can be frustrating and bothering for children and can lead to dietary neglect and worsen the disease [14–18].

The emotional functioning of children with coeliac disease is significantly lower in comparison to healthy children. Sick children can show very intense emotional reactions. Similarly, some studies showed that childrens with coeliac disease had more negative emotions (anger, sorrow, aggression, jealousy) than their healthy peers [17–19].

Adaptation to school, completing school obligations and belonging to various groups are significant indicators of normal development and functioning of children with chronic illness. Because of their strict adherence to dietary regimens and regular medical follow-ups children do not attend school normally, they need to miss classes, they fail to attend out-of-class activities and lose interaction with their peers. The results of some studies showed that the affected children also express concern about their absence from school, separation from peers, feeling of dissimilarity and they express the increased need for care and assistance from others [17, 19]. Also, there was often the lack of understanding the nature of their illness reflected by some teachers and peers [20].

Observing the sample in our study by gender, we found that girls had higher results than boys, with the exception of emotional functioning. The observation of girls only suggests the conclusion that there were differences between groups in every scale, except in the physical health scale, and the observation of boys showed no differences only in emotional functioning and physical health. The results of other researchers are contradictory, some indicated that there is no difference between boys and girls [21, 22], but there are also studies presenting lower quality of life in girls with coeliac disease [23, 24].

Observing coeliac respondents in our study by age, we conclude that they reported a lower level of quality of life in all scores and in all age groups if compared to the control group. The most pronounced deviations were in the youngest age groups. This must be taken with caution, given that in this age parents mostly assisted in giving answers and they do not often have a realistic view when it comes to their children. Surprisingly enough, the greatest difference would be expected to appear between adolescents, considering their peer's pressure and busy lifestyle, which contributes to a greater reliance on packaged foods that often contain gluten [4, 12, 14, 18, 25].

The assessment of the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is increasingly recognized as a very important measure of the overall outcome of treatment. Self-reporting on the quality of life allows the examination of factors that affect the illness and treatment from the child's aspect. Dietary changes and the implementation of a gluten-free diet can be difficult, costly and socially unaccepted due to social constraints, and this creates difficulties in managing the dietary regimen and therefore can exert a negative impact [26–29]. After establishing a definite diagnosis of the disease and the start of a gluten-free diet rapid recovery takes place, and the quality of their life becomes similar to their healthy peers [12, 30].

#### CONCLUSION

Compared to healthy children, the quality of life in children with coeliac disease in all age categories is disturbed in every domain where the quality of life can be measured and observed, except physical functioning. Girls with coeliac disease have a better quality of life than boys.

For a healthy life with coeliac disease and for successful adaptation and re-socialisation of the patients, it is necessary to improve the knowledge about the disease through additional education, to provide the patients with greater choice of gluten-free products, to encourage both patients and their peers to accept the necessary changes and, of course, to provide psychological support.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Radlović N. Celiac disease. Srp Arh Celok Lek 2013; 141(1-2): 122-6.
- 2. Pietzak M. Follow-up of patients with celiac disease: Achieving compliance with treatment. Gastroenterology 2005; 128(11): \$135-41.
- 3. Sverker A, Hensing G, Hallert C. Controlled by food-lived experiences of coeliac disease. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics 2005; 18(3): 171–80.
- 4. Youssef NN, Murphy TG, Langseder AL, Rosh JR. Quality of life for children with functional abdominal pain: a comparison study of patients' and parents' perceptions. Pediatrics 2006; 117(1): 54–9.
- 5. Beckung E, White-Koning M, Marcelli M, McManus V, Michelsen S, Parkes J et al. Health status of children with cerebral palsy living in Europe: a multi-centre study. Child Care Health Dev. 2008; 34(6): 806–14.
- 6. Simeoni MC, Auquier P, Antoniotti S, Sapin C, San Marco JL. Validation of a French health-related quality of life instrument for adolescents: the VSP-A. Qual Life Res. 2000; 9(4): 393–403.
- 7. Di Filippo T, Orlando MF, Concialdi G, La Grutta S, Lo Baido R, Epifanio MS et al. The quality of life in developing age children with celiac disease. Minerva Pediatr 2013; 65(6): 599–608.
- 8. Tapsas D, Fälth-Magnusson K, Högberg L, Hammersjö JÅ, Hollén E. Swedish children with celiac disease comply well with a gluten-free diet, and most include oats without reporting any adverse effects: a long-term follow-up study. Nutr Res 2014; 34: 436–441.
- 9. Varni JW, Seid MD, Kurtin PS. PedsQL 4.0: reliability and validity of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory version 4.0 generic core scales in healthy and patient populations. Med Care 2001; 39: 800-12.
- Stevanović D, Lakić A, Damnjanović M. Some psychometric properties of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory<sup>™</sup> Version 4.0 Generic Core Scales (PedsQL<sup>™</sup>) in the general Serbian population. Qual Life Res 2011; 20: 945–9.
- 11. Sevinç E, Çetin FH, Coşkun BD. Psychopathology, quality of life, and related factors in children with celiac disease. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2017; 93(3): 267–73.
- 12. Wagner G, Berger G, Sinnreich U, Grylli V, Schober E, Huber WD et al. Quality of life in adolescents with treated coeliac disease: Influence of compliance and age at diagnosis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2008; 47: 555–61.
- 13. Kolsteren MM, Koopman HM, Schalekamp G, Mearin ML. Health-related quality of life in children with celiac disease. J Pediatr 2001; 138: 593–5.
- 14. Esenyel S, Unal F, Vural P. Depression and anxiety in child and adolescents with follow-up celiac disease and in their families. Turk J Gastroenterol. 2014; 25(4): 381–5.
- 15. Pico M, Spirito MF. Implementation of a health-related quality of life questionnaire for children and adolescents with celiac disease Arch Argent Pediatr 2014; 112(1): 19–25.
- 16. Zarkadas M. Living with celiac disease and a gluten-free diet: A Canadian perspective. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2013; 26: 10–23.
- 17. Ciacci C, Iavarone A, Siniscalchi M, Romano R, De Rosa A. Psychological dimensions of celiac disease: towards an integrated approach. Dig Dis Sci 2002; 47: 2082–7.
- 18. Byström IM, Hollén E, Fälth-Magnusson K, Johansson A. Health-related quality of life in children and adolescents with celiac disease: from the perspectives of children and parents. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2012; 2012: 986475.
- 19. Loonen HJ, Grootenhuis MA, Last BF, Koopman HM, Derkx HH. Quality of life in paediatric inflammatory bowel disease measured by a generic and a disease specific questionnaire. Acta Paediatr 2002; 91: 348–54.
- 20. Sainsbury K, Mullan B, Sharpe L. Reduced quality of life in coeliac disease is more strongly associated with depression than gastrointestinal symptoms. J Psychosom Res. 2013; 75: 135–41.
- 21. Sarkhy A, El Mouzan MI, Saeed E, Alanazi A, Alghamdi S, Anil S et al. Socioeconomic impacts of glutenfree diet among Saudi children with celiac disease. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr 2016; 19(3): 162–7.
- 22. Chauhan JC, Kumar P, Dutta AK, Basu S, Kumar A. Assessment of dietary compliance to gluten-free diet and psychosocial problems in indian children with celiac disease. Indian Journal of Pediatrics 2010; 77: 649–54.

- 23. Altobelli E, Paduano R, Gentile T, Caloisi C, Marziliano C, Necozione S et al. Health-related quality of life in children and adolescents with celiac disease: survey of a population from central Italy. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2013; 11: 204.
- 24. Haapamaki J, Roine RP, Sintonen H, Kolho KL. Health-related quality of life in paediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease related to disease activity. J Paediatr Child Health 2011; 47: 832–7.
- 25. Jacobsson LR, Hallert C, Milberg A, Friedrichsen M. Coeliac disease women's experiences in everyday life. J Clin Nurs 2012; 21: 3442–50.
- 26. Ciacci C, Iavarone A., Siniscalchi M., Romano R, De Rosa A. Psychological dimensions of celiac disease. Dig Dis Sci 200; 47: 2082–87.
- 27. Rose C, Howard R. Living with coeliac disease: A grounded theory study. J Hum Nutr Diet 2014 27: 30-40.
- 28. Black JL, Orfila C: Impact of coeliac disease on dietary habits and quality of life. J Hum Nutr Diet 2011, 24(6): 582–7.
- 29. Rosen A, Ivarsson A, Nordyke K, Karlsson E, Carlsson A, Danielsson L et al. Balancing health benefits and social sacrifices: a qualitative study of how screening-detected celiac disease impacts adolescents' quality of life. BMC Pediatr 2011; 11: 32.
- 30. Barratt SM, Leeds JS, Sanders DS: Quality of life in Coeliac Disease is determined by perceived degree of difficulty adhering to a gluten-free diet, not the level of dietary adherence ultimately achieved. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2011, 20(3): 241–5.