

ORIGINAL ARTICLE / ОРИГИНАЛНИ РАД

Unraveling infertility – most frequent causes and essential predictors of assisted reproductive technologies outcomes

Katarina Ivanović¹, Ivan Tulić¹, Jelena Stojnić^{1,2}, Jelena Micić^{1,2}, Jovan Bila^{1,2}, Stefan Ivanović³, Milica Ivanović³, Ivana Babović^{1,2}, Boba Kotlica^{1,2}, Lidija Tulić^{1,2}

¹University Clinical Center of Serbia, Clinic for Gynecology and Obstetrics, Belgrade, Serbia;

²University of Belgrade, Faculty of Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia;

³Narodni Front Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic, Belgrade, Serbia



SUMMARY

Introduction/Objective Infertility is a global health concern affecting millions of couples worldwide. The aim of this study was to identify the most common causes of infertility among patients undergoing assisted reproductive technologies procedures and to determine key predictors of a successful outcome.

Methods This retrospective observational study included 164 patients treated at a University Clinical Center. Patients characteristics included age, body mass index, duration of infertility, baseline hormone levels were recorded, along with stimulation protocol, insemination technique, number of retrieved oocytes, fertilization rate, embryo quality, and treatment outcomes. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to assess predictors of a clinical pregnancy.

Results The mean age of participants was 34.66 ± 3.69 years, and 89% underwent a short stimulation protocol. Unexplained infertility was most frequent (30.5%), followed by male factor (28%). Among the participants, 65.2% had 4–15 retrieved oocytes, while 19.5% had ≤ 3 and 15.2% had > 15 . Embryos of quality A were observed in 56.7% of patients. The overall pregnancy rate was 53.7%. Univariate logistic regression identified lower baseline progesterone, higher number of mature oocytes, and better embryo quality as significant predictors of success. In the multivariate model, the number of mature oocytes ($p = 0.014$) and A-quality embryos ($p = 0.004$) remained independent predictors of a positive outcome.

Conclusion This study demonstrates that the number of mature oocytes and top-quality embryos are essential for achieving favorable in vitro fertilization / intracytoplasmic sperm injection results. Recognizing and addressing these predictive factors may enhance the success rate of assisted reproductive treatments, stressing the need for personalized therapeutic strategies.

Keywords: infertility; assisted reproductive technologies procedures; embryo quality; progesterone; IVF outcome

INTRODUCTION

Affecting millions of couples worldwide, infertility becomes a significant global health concern highlighting the need for effective diagnostic and therapeutic strategies [1]. Diverse underlying factors, such as delayed childbearing, baseline levels of reproductive hormones, ovarian reserve, lifestyle habits (e.g., smoking, obesity), and demographic characteristics of the couples have contributed to development of more sophisticated reproductive approaches [2]. According to the report “Women’s Health in Serbia – Past, Present and Future,” the leading reproductive problems among women in Serbia are menstrual cycle disorders and infertility, alongside a growing need for assisted reproductive technologies (ART) procedures due to the increased prevalence of these conditions [3].

The current increasing evidences about values of body mass index (BMI), serum progesterone (P4) levels and quality of embryos emphasize its potential role on the in ART success

[4]. P4, as a key hormone in the luteal phase, plays a crucial role in endometrial preparation and the maintenance of early pregnancy. There are conflicting results about influence of the smoking habits, alcohol consumption, duration of infertility and causes of infertility on in vitro fertilization (IVF) outcomes [2, 5], but one is for sure that the success of each procedure depends on the patient’s individual clinical presentation, emphasizing the importance of a comprehensive approach that carefully evaluates all relevant predictors. Regarding stimulation protocols, studies suggest that the choice of protocol (e.g., long, short, or antagonist) can influence both the quantity and quality of retrieved oocytes. Similarly, the selection of the insemination technique – IVF, intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), or a combined approach – may be critical in cases of severe male factor infertility or varying oocyte quality [6].

Accordingly, the aim of this study was to analyze the characteristics of patients who underwent IVF/ICSI treatment and identifying key predictors of IVF/ICSI success.

Received • Примљено:

June 16, 2025

Revised • Ревизија:

August 12, 2025

Accepted • Прихваћено:

August 29, 2025

Online first: September 4, 2025

Correspondence to:

Lidija TULIĆ
University of Belgrade
Faculty of Medicine
University Clinical Center of Serbia
Clinic for Gynecology and
Obstetrics
Department of In Vitro
Fertilization
Dr Koste Todorovića 26
11000 Belgrade
Serbia
coccolo13@yahoo.com

METHODS

A retrospective observational study was conducted at the Clinic for Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Clinical Center of Serbia. All data were analyzed in accordance with ethical principles and research standards. The recruitment of patients was performed in accordance with the Helsinki declaration. All patients were entirely informed about the research and all signed the informed consents for inclusion in the study, as well as the ART itself. The research was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade.

The inclusion criteria encompassed women aged 18–40 years undergoing first, second, or third ART procedure as independent patients, with a BMI < 30 kg/m², a confirmed infertility diagnosis. Exclusion criteria consisted of azoospermic male partners and female patients who did not meet the inclusion criteria, such as those over 40 years of age or with a BMI exceeding 30 kg/m². Infertility was diagnosed and classified according to the guidelines of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology [7]. For all patients ultimately included in the study, comprehensive medical histories were obtained, covering the following parameters: demographic characteristics [age, BMI, and duration of infertility), baseline hormonal status (follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), estradiol (E2), and P4], anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) levels, factor of infertility (male, ovarian, tubal, combined), stimulation protocol (long antagonist or short antagonist protocol), procedure outcomes (number of retrieved oocytes, number of fertilized oocytes, fertilization rate, embryo quality, and pregnancy rate), and pregnancy outcomes (biochemical pregnancy, miscarriage, or live birth).

The stimulation protocols and patient monitoring have been described in detail in a previous study [4]. Briefly, in the long gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist protocol, Triptorelin (Diphereline, Ipsen Pharma Biotech, Signes France) was administered in the mid-luteal phase of the preceding cycle, followed by ovarian stimulation with recombinant FSH (GONAL-f®, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) from cycle day two or three, based on patient age, BMI, and ovarian reserve. In the short GnRH antagonist protocol, ovarian stimulation commenced with rFSH and Cetorelix (Cetrotide®, Merck KGaA) added when the leading follicle reached 14 mm, continuing until human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) administration. Ovarian response was monitored through serial transvaginal ultrasound and serum E2 measurements. When at least two follicles reached ≥ 18 mm, hCG (Pregnyl, Organon & Co., Jersey City, NJ, USA) was administered, with oocyte retrieval performed 34–36 hours later. Retrieved oocytes were classified as mature (MII) or immature (MI) based on their developmental stage.

Insemination methods included IVF, ICSI or a combined approach. Fertilization was assessed 16–20 hours post-insemination based on the presence of two pronuclei. Embryo quality was evaluated according to the Istanbul Consensus of Clinical Embryologists [8]. All assessments were conducted jointly by the embryology team. Embryo

transfer was performed on day two or three post-oocyte retrieval, with a maximum of three embryos, depending on the patient's age, medical history, embryo quality, and patient's wish. Luteal phase support with intramuscular P4 initiated from the day of oocyte retrieval. Pregnancy was confirmed by a positive serum β-hCG result 14 days post-transfer. Clinical pregnancy was verified via transvaginal ultrasound at six weeks of gestation.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using appropriate statistical tests based on the nature of the examined variables. Categorical variables were assessed using the χ² test to examine the distribution of infertility causes and stimulation protocols. Continuous variables were compared between groups using either the independent t-test or the Mann–Whitney U test, depending on the normality of data distribution. To evaluate the association between various predictors and IVF/ICSI success, univariate logistic regression analysis was performed. Variables with a significant association in the univariate analysis were subsequently included in a multivariate logistic regression model to identify independent predictors of a positive outcome. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. Results were reported as means with standard deviations or medians with interquartile ranges for continuous variables, and as frequencies with percentages for categorical variables. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals were presented for logistic regression analyses. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS Statistics for Windows software, version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Ethics: All patients were entirely informed about the research and all signed the informed consents for inclusion in the study in accordance with institutional ethical standards. The investigation approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia (Number 29/XI-1).

RESULTS

This study included 164 patients who underwent IVF/ICSI treatment. The mean patient age was 34.66 ± 3.69 years, with a mean BMI of 22.23 ± 2.65 kg/m². The mean duration of infertility was 4.86 ± 2.61 years (range: 1–17 years) (Table 1). The mean FSH level was 7.24 ± 2.47 mIU/mL,

Table 1. Distribution of demographic characteristics

Parameters		Total	%
Age	Up to 29	19	11.6%
	30–35	73	44.5%
	36+	72	43.9%
Smoking	No	131	79.9%
	Yes	33	20.1%
Body mass index	Up to 25	140	85.4%
	Over 25	24	14.6%

while the mean LH level was 5.15 ± 2.66 mIU/mL. The mean E2 concentration was 43.78 ± 19.45 pg/mL, and the mean P4 concentration was 2.64 ± 1.75 ng/mL. The mean AMH level was 2.55 ± 2.59 ng/mL. The total gonadotropin dose administered was 2205.03 ± 538.93 IU. The results are presented in Table 2. The short stimulation protocol was significantly more prevalent than the long protocol (89% vs. 11%, $p < 0.0001$). The distribution of insemination techniques showed no significant difference, with IVF (42.1%), ICSI (29.3%), and a combined approach (28.7%) ($p = 0.06$) (Table 3). The most common cause of infertility was unexplained infertility (30.5%), followed by male factor (28%), tubal factor (18.9%), ovarian factor (18.3%), and combined infertility (4.3%). Most patients (65.2%) had 4–15 retrieved oocytes, while 19.5% had ≤ 3 oocytes, and 15.2% had > 15 oocytes. Embryo grading showed that 56.7% of embryos were A-quality, 61% were B-quality, 17.1% were AB-quality, and 20.1% were C-quality, shown in Table 4. Among 164 treatment cycles, 88 cycles (53.7%) resulted in pregnancy, while 76 cycles (46.3%) did not lead to conception. Of the pregnancies, 71 (43.3%) progressed to live birth, 12 (7.3%) were biochemical pregnancies, and five (3%) resulted in miscarriage (Table 5).

Univariate logistic regression analysis identified BMI ≤ 25 kg/m² (OR = 2.5, $p < 0.05$), infertility duration (OR = 1.9, $p < 0.05$), number of MII oocytes ($p = 0.043$), and A-quality embryos (OR = 1.87, $p = 0.048$) as significant predictors of a positive outcome. The following factors that were identified are presented in Table 6. Multivariate logistic regression confirmed that the number of MII oocytes ($p = 0.014$) and A-quality embryos ($p = 0.004$) were independent predictors of a successful pregnancy outcome. The results are presented in Table 7.

DISCUSSION

This study highlights the pivotal factors that influence the success of ART procedures, emphasizing the importance of oocyte quantity and quality, embryo morphology, and hormonal balance in optimizing reproductive outcomes. Our results signify that a higher number of MII oocytes and A-quality embryos are independent predictors of a positive pregnancy outcome. Further, patients with a BMI lower than 25 kg/m² and a longer duration of infertility (> 3.5 years) had better treatment results, suggesting that healthy BMI optimizing hormonal balance, egg quality, and overall treatment response, and reproductive history play a significant role in ART success.

In multivariate analysis, BMI stayed as a borderline significant factor that may have an impact, but it was not an independent predictor of success when the other factors were taken into account. The data

Table 2. Average values of demographic characteristics, baseline hormonal status, and gonadotropin (GT) dose

Parameters		Number	Mean	SD	95% CI		Min.	Max.
					Lower	Upper		
Age	Total	164	34.66	3.69	34.10	35.23	21	41
BMI	Total	164	22.23	2.65	21.82	22.64	18	29.40
Duration of infertility	Total	164	4.86	2.61	4.45	5.26	1	17
FSH	Total	160	7.24	2.47	6.86	7.63	2.60	15
LH	Total	156	5.15	2.66	4.73	5.57	0.40	25.20
E2	Total	157	43.78	19.45	40.71	46.85	10	100
AMH	Total	150	2.55	2.59	2.13	2.97	0.10	14.30
GT dosage	Total	159	2205.03	538.93	2120.62	2289.45	900.0	4125.0
P4	Total	164	2.64	1.75	2.37	2.91	0.22	9.31

BMI – body mass index; FSH – follicle-stimulating hormone; LH – luteinizing hormone; E2 – estradiol; AMH – anti-Müllerian hormone; P4 – progesterone

Table 3. Stimulation protocol and insemination technique

Procedure		Total	%	χ^2 (df)	p
Stimulation protocol	GnRH agonists	18	11%	99.1 (1)	< 0.0001
	GnRH antagonists	146	89%		
Insemination technique	IVF	69	42.1%	5.65 (2)	0.06
	ICSI	48	29.3%		
	Combined	47	28.7%		
Total		164	100%		

GnRH – gonadotropin-releasing hormone; IVF – in vitro fertilization; ICSI – intracytoplasmic sperm injection

Table 4. Infertility cause disturbance, the number of retrieved oocytes and characteristics of embryos

Infertility cause		Factor		Total	%
		Male		46	28%
Female	Tubal			31	18.9%
	Ovarian			30	18.3%
	Combined			7	4.3%
Unknown				50	30.5%
The number of retrieved oocytes		< 3		32	19.5%
		4–15		107	65.2%
		> 15		25	15.2%
Quality of embryos	A quality embryo	No		71	43.3%
		Yes		93	56.7%
	B quality embryo	No		64	39%
		Yes		100	61%
	AB quality embryo	No		136	82.9%
		Yes		28	17.1%
	C quality embryo	No		131	79.9%
		Yes		33	20.1%

Table 5. Assisted reproductive technologies outcomes

Outcomes		Total	%
No pregnancy		76	46.3%
Pregnancy		88	53.7%
Outcome of pregnancy	Delivery	71	43.3%
	Biochemical pregnancy	12	7.3%
	Miscarriage	5	3%

Table 6. Univariate logistic regression analysis – predictive association with outcome

Parameters	B	SE	Wald	Df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95% CI for EXP(B)	
							Lower	Upper
Age	-0.05	0.04	1.17	1	0.280	0.95	0.88	1.04
BMI	-0.09	0.06	2.09	1	0.148	0.92	0.82	1.03
BMI – up to 25, over 25	-0.77	0.45	2.86	1	0.091	0.46	0.19	1.13
Smoking	0.04	0.39	0.01	1	0.909	1.05	0.49	2.25
Baseline concentrations of reproductive hormones								
FSH	0.00	0.06	0.00	1	0.995	1.00	0.88	1.13
LH	0.08	0.07	1.40	1	0.237	1.09	0.95	1.24
E2	0.01	0.01	0.90	1	0.344	1.01	0.99	1.02
AMH	0.03	0.06	0.28	1	0.596	1.03	0.91	1.17
GT dosage	0.00	0.00	0.16	1	0.686	1.00	1.00	1.00
P4	-0.28	0.10	8.10	1	0.004	0.75	0.62	0.92
Infertility								
Duration of infertility	0.08	0.06	1.51	1	0.219	1.08	0.96	1.22
Infertility cause	-0.04	0.10	0.17	1	0.681	0.96	0.78	1.17
Stimulation protocol	0.61	0.53	1.35	1	0.246	1.84	0.66	5.17
Technique	-0.22	0.19	1.36	1	0.244	0.80	0.55	1.16
Number of oocytes, fertilization rate and quality of embryos								
The number of oocytes	0.04	0.03	2.78	1	0.096	1.04	0.99	1.10
Up to 3,4–15, over 15	0.12	0.27	0.22	1	0.640	1.13	0.67	1.91
The number of mature oocytes	0.06	0.03	4.09	1	0.043	1.07	1.00	1.13
The number of fertilized oocytes	0.07	0.04	3.25	1	0.062	1.08	0.99	1.16
Fertilization rate	0.00	0.01	0.16	1	0.690	1.00	0.99	1.01
Quality of embryos	-0.51	0.19	7.36	1	0.007	0.60	0.42	0.87
A quality embryo	0.51	0.32	2.58	1	0.048	1.87	0.89	3.11

BMI – body mass index; FSH – follicle-stimulating hormone; LH – luteinizing hormone; E2 – estradiol; AMH – anti-Müllerian hormone; GT – gonadotropin; P4 – progesterone; B – unstandardized regression coefficient; SE – standard error; Wald – Wald statistic; Df – degrees of freedom; Sig. – statistical significance; Exp(B) – odds ratio

Table 7. Multivariate logistic regression analysis: predictive association with the outcome

Parameters		B	SE	Wald	Df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95% CI for Exp(B)	
								Lower	Upper
Step first	BMI	-0.99	0.50	3.85	1	0.050	0.37	0.14	1.00
	Duration of infertility	0.59	0.37	2.58	1	0.108	1.80	0.88	3.69
	The number of oocytes	-0.10	0.10	1.09	1	0.297	0.90	0.75	1.09
	The number of mature oocytes	0.23	0.14	2.90	1	0.088	1.26	0.97	1.64
	The number of fertilized oocytes	-0.03	0.07	0.20	1	0.655	0.97	0.84	1.11
	Quality of embryos	-0.59	0.21	7.66	1	0.006	0.56	0.37	0.84
	Constant	3.12	1.15	7.36	1	0.007	22.61		
Step last	BMI	-0.88	0.49	3.25	1	0.072	0.41	0.16	1.08
	The number of mature oocytes	0.10	0.04	6.02	1	0.014	1.11	1.02	1.20
	Quality of embryos	-0.60	0.21	8.43	1	0.004	0.55	0.37	0.82
	Constant	3.80	1.00	14.57	1	0.000	44.66		

BMI – body mass index; B – unstandardized regression coefficient; SE – standard error; Wald – Wald statistic; Df – degrees of freedom; Sig. – statistical significance; Exp(B) – odds ratio

from available literature is controversial. Some systematic reviews and meta-analyses have shown that women with a BMI over 25 have lower clinical pregnancy and live birth rates, as well as a higher risk of miscarriage compared to women with a BMI below 25 [9, 10], while others reported that BMI is not associated with the outcomes of fresh embryo transfer in women undergoing their first IVF/ICSI treatment [11].

Longer history of infertility is usually related to reduced chance of IVF/ICSI success, possibly due to the progression of underlying reproductive disorders over time [12].

Also, some studies have not found correlation between the duration of infertility and IVF/ICSI outcomes, indicating that other factors, such as maternal age and embryo quality, may have a greater impact on treatment success [13, 14]. Our study showed that a longer duration of infertility (> 3.5 years) was positively associated with IVF/ICSI success in univariate analysis, which is an uncommon finding, and could be explained with couples with longer infertility durations may have undergone more extensive diagnostic workups and previous treatments, and by the time they reached IVF, the underlying issues were better managed.

Also, patients with long-term infertility might be more motivated, and finally this was the group of patients that were less than 40 years old and that might have influenced our results. Still, in multivariate analysis, infertility duration was not retained as an independent predictor of success.

Results did not show correlation between baseline levels of FSH, LH, E2, AMH, dose of gonadotropin and pregnancy rates, what is in accordance with researches suggesting that elevated levels of FSH (> 10 mIU/mL) may weaken ovarian response but do not necessarily correlate with lower clinical pregnancy rates. Elevated baseline E2 (> 60 pg/mL) correlates with weakened ovarian response and lower pregnancy rates, as well and often masks the real level of FSH presenting ovarian reserve better than it actually is [15, 16, 17]. A systematic review and meta-analysis examining P4 levels at different phases of ART concluded that elevated baseline P4 (> 1.5 ng/mL on day 2–3 of stimulation) does not significantly impact live birth or clinical pregnancy rates in fresh IVF cycles [18]. In our study, univariate logistic regression analysis showed that respondents with lower levels of baseline P4 had higher chance to achieve pregnancy.

AMH, as indicator of ovarian reserve, is also a predictor of response to controlled ovarian stimulation. Our results did not show significant association among AMH levels and pregnancy rates, which is in accordance with other studies that suggest that higher AMH is correlated with a greater number of archived oocytes, but not necessarily with higher live birth rates [19]. Further, findings emphasized that very low AMH levels (< 0.5 ng/mL) usually indicate weak ovarian response with low pregnancy chances. Its role as an independent predictor of IVF success has not been fully established [20, 21].

The number of oocytes retrieved during an ART cycle is labeled with a positive correlation with successful outcomes. Studies reported that retrieving among six to 15 oocytes yields the greatest potential for favorable results, then less, maintaining quality of embryos and decreasing the risk of ovarian hyper stimulation syndrome [22], but

emphasizing that oocyte quality is more critical for the success of the procedure than the absolute number of retrieved oocytes. The high-quality oocytes are directly correlated with highest fertilization rate, embryo development, implantation rates, and live births rate [23].

In summary, our study underscores the significance of individualized evaluation in ART, with particular attention to the quantity and quality of oocytes and embryos, as well as patient-specific characteristics such as BMI and infertility history. The most consistent predictors of a successful outcome were a higher number of MII oocytes and top-quality embryos, reaffirming the central role of gamete and embryo competence in ART success. While BMI and duration of infertility showed associations in univariate analysis, they did not retain independent predictive value in multivariate models, highlighting the complexity and interplay of contributing factors. Baseline hormonal markers, including AMH and FSH, were not reliable indicators of pregnancy success, supporting the notion that their value lies more in assessing ovarian response than in predicting outcomes. The major limitations of our study, which decrease the strength of our findings, are its retrospective design and the limited sample size.

CONCLUSION

These findings advocate for a multifactorial and individualized approach to patient assessment and treatment planning in ART, rather than relying solely on traditional baseline parameters. In the realm of ongoing innovation and advancement in the area of ART a more precise and comprehensive understanding of the significance and contribution of predictive factors is crucial for optimizing outcomes. Additionally, development of predictive models using patient-specific factors could contribute to increase of ART success and live birth rates.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. 1 in 6 people globally affected by infertility [Internet]. Geneva: WHO. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news/item/04-04-2023-1-in-6-people-globally-affected-by-infertility>.
2. Boedt T, Dancet E, De Neubourg D, Vereeck S, Jan S, Van der Gucht K, et al. A blended preconception lifestyle programme for couples undergoing IVF: lessons learned from a multicentre randomized controlled trial. *Hum Reprod Open*. 2023;2023(4):hoad036. [DOI: 10.1093/hropen/hoad036] [PMID: 38455033]
3. Parapid B, Kanjuh V, Kostić V, Polovina S, Dinjć M, Lončar Z, et al. Women's health in Serbia – past, present, and future. *Srp Arh Celok Lek*. 2021;149(11–12):745–54. [DOI: 10.2298/SARH211208105P]
4. Wang X, Cai S, Tang S, Yang L, Tan J, Sun X, et al. Effect of lifestyle or metformin interventions before IVF/ICSI treatment on infertile women with overweight/obese and insulin resistance: a factorial design randomised controlled pilot trial. *Pilot Feasibility Stud*. 2023;9(1):160. [DOI: 10.1186/s40814-023-01388-x] [PMID: 37700375]
5. Tuljā L, Tuljā I, Bila J, Nikoljā L, Dotliā J, Lazareviā-Suntov M, et al. Correlation of progesterone levels on the day of oocyte retrieval with basal hormonal status and the outcome of ART. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):22291. [DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-79347-2] [PMID: 33339878]
6. Sharqawi M, Hantisteanu S, Bilgory A, Aslih N, Shibli Abu Raya Y, Atzmon Y, et al. The Impact of Lifestyle on Sperm Function, Telomere Length, and IVF Outcomes. *Am J Mens Health*. 2022;16(5):15579883221119931. [DOI: 10.1177/15579883221119931] [PMID: 36121249]
7. European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE). Evidence-based guideline: Diagnostic work-up and treatment of unexplained infertility. *Human Reproduction Open*. 2023.
8. Alpha Scientists in Reproductive Medicine and ESHRE Special Interest Group of Embryology. The Istanbul consensus workshop on embryo assessment: proceedings of an expert meeting. *Hum Reprod*. 2011;26(6):1270–83. [DOI: 10.1093/humrep/der037] [PMID: 21502182]
9. Rittenberg V, Seshadri S, Sunkara SK, Sobaleva S, Oteng-Ntim E, El-Toukhy T. Effect of body mass index on IVF treatment outcome: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2011;23(4):421–39. [DOI: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2011.06.018] [PMID: 21885344]

10. Bai X, Chang R, Qing M, Jiang B, Zhang C. Impact of insulin resistance on in vitro fertilization outcomes in overweight and obese women: a retrospective cohort study. *Hum Fertil (Camb)*. 2025;28(1):2526768. [DOI: 10.1080/14647273.2025.2526768] [PMID: 40684365]
11. Chen H, Li J, Cai S, Zeng S, Yin C, Kuang W, et al. Impact of body mass index (BMI) on the success rate of fresh embryo transfer in women undergoing first in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (IVF/ICSI) treatment. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2022;46(1):202–10. [DOI: 10.1038/s41366-021-00978-0] [PMID: 34628467]
12. Zhang L, Cai H, Li W, Tian L, Shi J. Duration of infertility and assisted reproductive outcomes in non-male factor infertility: can use of ICSI turn the tide? *BMC Womens Health*. 2022;22(1):480. [DOI: 10.1186/s12905-022-02062-9] [PMID: 36443809]
13. van Loendersloot LL, van Wely M, Limpens J, Bossuyt PM, Repping S, van der Veen F. Predictive factors in in vitro fertilization (IVF): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2010;16(6):577–89. [DOI: 10.1093/humupd/dmq015] [PMID: 20581128]
14. Ribeiro S, Sousa M. In Vitro Fertilisation and Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection predictive factors: A review of the effect of female age, ovarian reserve, male age, and male factor on IVF/ICSI treatment outcomes. *JBRA Assist Reprod*. 2023;27(1):97–111. [DOI: 10.5935/1518-0557.20220000] [PMID: 35916467]
15. Huang J, Lu Y, He Y, Wang Y, Zhu Q, Qi J, et al. The effect of peak serum estradiol level during ovarian stimulation on cumulative live birth and obstetric outcomes in freeze-all cycles. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2023;14:1130211. [DOI: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1130211] [PMID: 37529616]
16. Wei CX, Zhang L, Pang CH, Qi YH, Zhang JW. Effect of the ratios of estradiol increase on the outcome of in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer with antagonist regimens: a single center retrospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2023;23(1):134. [DOI: 10.1186/s12884-023-05438-3] [PMID: 36864417]
17. Ni H, Chen LN, Quan S, Li H, Hua R, Gao RH, et al. Value of serum estradiol concentration in predicting the clinical outcome of IVF-ET in patients receiving long protocol of GnRHa. *Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao*. 2011;31(2):365–8. Chinese. [PMID: 21354932]
18. Lim YC, Hamdan M, Maheshwari A, Yee C. Progesterone level in assisted reproductive technology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2024;14(1):30826. [DOI: 10.1038/s41598-024-81539-z] [PMID: 39730597]
19. Lee JR, Kim SH, Kim SM, Jee BC, Ku SY, Suh CS, et al. Anti-Müllerian hormone dynamics during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation and optimal timing of measurement for outcome prediction. *Hum Reprod*. 2010;25(10):2597–604. [DOI: 10.1093/humrep/deq204] [PMID: 20729237]
20. Vijay AS, Gopireddy MMR, Fyzullah S, Gollapalli P, Maheshwari M, Rani U, et al. Association Between AMH Levels and Fertility/Reproductive Outcomes Among Women Undergoing IVF: A Retrospective Study. *J Reprod Infertil*. 2022;23(1):54–60. [DOI: 10.18502/jri.v23i1.8453] [PMID: 36045886]
21. Guo Y, Jiang H, Hu S, Liu S, Li F, Jin L. Efficacy of three COS protocols and predictability of AMH and AFC in women with discordant ovarian reserve markers: a retrospective study on 19,239 patients. *J Ovarian Res*. 2021;14(1):111. [DOI: 10.1186/s13048-021-00863-4] [PMID: 34454544]
22. Jamil M, Debbbarh H, Kabit A, Ennaji M, Zarqaoui M, Senhaji WR, et al. Impact of the number of retrieved oocytes on IVF outcomes: oocyte maturation, fertilization, embryo quality and implantation rate. *Zygote*. 2023;31(1):91–6. [DOI: 10.1017/S096719942200065X] [PMID: 36533391]
23. Ahmad MF, Elias MH, Mat Jin N, Abu MA, Syafruddin SE, Zainuddin AA, et al. Oocytes Quality Assessment-The Current Insight: A Systematic Review. *Biology (Basel)*. 2024;13(12):978. [DOI: 10.3390/biology13120978] [PMID: 39765644]

Испитивање неплодности – најчешћи узроци и кључни предиктори исхода поступака асистираних репродуктивних технологија

Катарина Ивановић¹, Иван Тулић¹, Јелена Стојнић^{1,2}, Јелена Мицић^{1,2}, Јован Била^{1,2}, Стефан Ивановић³, Милица Ивановић³, Ивана Бабовић^{1,2}, Боба Котлица^{1,2}, Лидија Тулић^{1,2}

¹Универзитетски клинички центар Србије, Клиника за гинекологију и акушерство, Београд, Србија;

²Универзитет у Београду, Медицински факултет, Београд, Србија;

³Клиника за гинекологију и акушерство „Народни фронт“, Београд, Србија

САЖЕТАК

Увод/Циљ Неплодност представља глобални здравствени проблем који погађа милионе парова широм света. Циљ ове студије био је да идентификује најчешће узроке неплодности код пацијенткиња који се подвргавају процедурама асистираних репродуктивних технологија и да одреди кључне предикторе успешног исхода.

Метод Ова ретроспективна опсервациона студија обухватила је 164 пацијенткиње лечене у Универзитетском клиничком центру. Карактеристике пацијенткиња укључивале су старост, индекс телесне масе, трајање неплодности, базални хормонски статус, као и протокол стимулације, технику инсеминације, број добијених јајних ћелија, стопу фертилизације, квалитет ембриона и исход лечења. Спроведене су униваријантна и мултиваријантна логистичка регресиона анализа ради процене предиктора клиничких трудноћа.

Резултати Просечна старост испитаница била је $34,66 \pm 3,69$ година, а 89% је било подвргнуто кратком протоколу стимулације. Најчешћи узрок неплодности био је непознат фактор

(30,5%), затим мушки фактор (28%). Код 65,2% испитаница добијено је између четири и 15 јајних ћелија, док је 19,5% имало ≤ 3 , а 15,2% > 15 . Ембриони А-квалитета добијени су код 56,7% пацијенткиња. Укупна стопа трудноће износила је 53,7%. Униваријантна логистичка регресија показала је да су нижи базални ниво прогестерона, већи број зрелих јајних ћелија и бољи квалитет ембриона значајни предиктори успеха. У мултиваријантном моделу, број зрелих јајних ћелија ($p = 0,014$) и ембриони А-квалитета ($p = 0,004$) остали су независни предиктори позитивног исхода.

Закључак Ова студија показује да су број зрелих јајних ћелија и добар квалитет ембриона од кључне важности за постизање добрих резултата вантелесне оплодње. Препознавање и адекватно управљање овим предиктивним факторима може побољшати успешност асистираних репродуктивних третмана, наглашавајући значај персонализованих терапијских стратегија.

Кључне речи: неплодност; вантелесна оплодња; поступци; квалитет ембриона; прогестерон; исход поступка