ORIGINAL ARTICLE / ОРИГИНАЛНИ РАД

Acute kidney injury and necessity of renal replacement therapy in acutely intoxicated patients with rhabdomyolysis

Aleksandra Babulovska^{1,2}, Natasha Simonovska^{1,2}, Zhanina Pereska^{1,2}, Kiril Naumoski^{1,2}, Kristin Kostadinoski^{1,2}, Biljana Ristova-Sazdova²

¹Ss Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Faculty of Medicine, Skopje, North Macedonia; ²University Toxicology Clinic, Skopje, North Macedonia

SUMMARY

Introduction/Objective This study aimed to analyse the characteristics of the selective parameters related to the development of acute kidney injury and the necessity of renal replacement therapy in patients with rhabdomyolysis due to acute intoxication with psychotropic and chemical substances in the first 24 hours. **Methods** In a clinically controlled prospective study, 140 patients with rhabdomyolysis were divided into two groups depending on the intoxicating substance, i.e., psychotropic or chemical. Patients were selected according to predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Results Acute kidney injury occurred in 15% of 140 patients with rhabdomyolysis of whom 14 (66.7%) had psychotropic intoxication and seven (33.3%) had chemical intoxication. Statistical analysis showed significantly increased prevalence in the psychotropic group compared to those with chemical intoxication (p 0.0002). Creatine kinase values for median interquartile range in patients without/with renal replacement therapy were in psychotropic – 753 (446–753) vs. 42,670 (22,357–42,670) U/L; and chemical – 478.3 (321.5–1111.9) vs. 648.6 (495.6–2065) U/L. In psychotropic intoxications this difference was significant (p = 0.00002), while in the chemical ones it was insignificant (p = 0.2885). The renal replacement therapy was applied in 13 (9.3%) patients with rhabdomyolysis, nine of which (69.2%) were with psychotropic intoxication.

Conclusion The prevalence of acute kidney injury and necessity for necessity for renal replacement therapy was significantly higher in psychotropic intoxication compared to chemical intoxication. The level of creatine kinase and myoglobin on the first day in the group with psychotropic substances, and high-sensitivity troponin I in both groups –psychotropic and chemical substances – are significantly higher in patients who need renal replacement therapy compared to those who do not need this therapy. **Keywords:** toxicity; creatine kinase; myoglobin

INTRODUCTION

Rhabdomyolysis (RML) is a clinical syndrome resulting from the destruction of muscle fibers and the consequent release of intracellular constituents, such as myoglobin, creatine kinase (CK), and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) into the bloodstream, which have the potential to cause local and systemic complications [1]. Common causes include crush injuries, heat injuries, toxins, and overexertion [2].

The most common life-threatening complication of RML is acute kidney injury (AKI). Some possible causes are direct tubular toxicity of myoglobin, vasoconstriction, formation of intra-tubular casts, and renal ischemia caused by low blood volume [3]. Myoglobin released from damaged muscles is a major renal injury factor deposited in renal tubules [4]. During muscle breakdown, excessive amounts of myoglobin are released, exceeding the renal threshold, leading to myoglobinuria and renal damage [4]. As an iron-containing protein, it has the ability to bind molecular oxygen, which may produce a hydroxyl radical in the oxidation of ferrous oxide (Fe,+) to ferric oxide (Fe,+) [5]. Nephrotoxic effects of myoglobin through free radical production and lipid peroxidation leading to renal vasoconstriction and oxidative damage to renal tubules also contribute to the development of AKI [6]. Metabolic acidosis and increased uric acid concentrations potentiate the nephrotoxic properties of myoglobin through its precipitation and interaction with Tamm-Horsfall protein to form casts in tubules [7]. Patients with AKI are classified into three clinical stages based on increase in creatinine and/or decrease in urine output, according to Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) recommendations [8].

This study aimed to analyze the characteristics of the selective parameters related to the development of AKI and the necessity of renal replacement therapy in patients with RML due to acute intoxication with psychotropic and chemical substances in the first 24 hours.

METHODS

This was a prospective clinical study conducted during 2019 at the University Clinic for Received • Примљено: February 28, 2023 Revised • Ревизија: February 1, 2024 Accepted • Прихваћено: March 1, 2024 Online first: March 8, 2024

Correspondence to:

Aleksandra BABULOVSKA University Clinic for Toxicology Ss Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje Faculty of Medicine Vodnjanska Str. Skopje 1000 Republic of North Macedonia **ababulovska@yahoo.com**



Toxicology in Skopje. The study included patients with RML divided into two groups, depending on the toxic substance consumed by them (psychotropic or chemical). RML was defined as a creatinine kinase (CK) > 250 U/L according to the Poisoning Severity Score. We included adult patients aged 18 years and older with RML. They had been acutely intoxicated with either psychotropic or chemical substances within the 48 hours prior to admission to the hospital. We excluded patients with pre-existing renal disease, chronic renal disease, muscle trauma as a result of a traumatic accident and patients with myocardial infarction. According to KDIGO criteria, the AKI was categorized as AKI I, II, or III based on the increase in serum creatinine $\geq 26.5 \,\mu mol/L$ or an increase to \geq 1.5-fold to two-fold from baseline, > twofold to threefold from baseline, and > threefold from baseline or serum creatinine \geq 354 µmol/L [8]. Individuals who receive renal replacement therapy were considered to have met the criteria of AKI III regardless of their serum creatinine value.

Patients' informed consent was obtained prior to their inclusion in the study.

The study was approved by the Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius at the University of Skopje, Republic of Northern Macedonia (Ethics Code: 03-1864/4; dated 19.04.2019).

Statistical analysis

The data obtained in the study were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The quantitative data were analyzed in series, using central tendency (mean and median) and dispersion measures (standard deviation and interquartile range – IQR). Fisher's exact test was used to determine the association among certain features in the group of subjects. Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the average values, according to distribution. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The binary logistic regression was used to identify the predicative parameters for developing AKI.

RESULTS

A total of 1446 patients diagnosed with acute intoxications received treatment during the study period at the University Clinic for Toxicology in Skopje, Republic of North Macedonia. Among them, 140 patients developed RML. Ninety-six (68.6%) patients with RML were poisoned with psychotropic drugs, while the remaining 44 individuals (31.4%) ingested chemical agents. Intoxications involving psychotropic substances were significantly more common than those involving chemical substances.

Among patients with RML, a total of 21 (15%) had AKI, with 14 (66.7%) resulting from psychotropic intoxication and seven (33.3%) from chemical intoxication. The analysis revealed a significantly higher prevalence of AKI in psychotropic intoxications compared to chemical intoxications (difference 33.4% [(15.6–48.2) 95% CI]; χ^2 = 13.552; df = 1; p = 0.0002).

In the group with psychotropic intoxications and AKI, 13 (92.8%) were male and one (7.14%) was female, while in the group with chemical intoxications, the distribution was three (42.86%) male and 4 (57.1%) female. The average age of patients with RML and AKI in the psychotropic intoxication group was 39.9 ± 13.4 , with a range of 26-53 years, compared to 57.8 ± 15.1 years, with a range of 41-82 years in the chemical intoxication group. Median IQR age distribution indicated that 50% of patients in the psychotropic intoxication group were under 40 years old [median IQR = 40 (36-47)], while in the chemical intoxication group, 50% were under 54 years old [median IQR = 54 (52-65)]. There was a significantly older patient population in the group with chemical intoxications (Mann–Whitney U Test: Z = -3.0597; p = 0.002221^*) (Table 1).

We individually analyzed the etiological factors for AKI, considering the prevalence of psychotropic and chemical parameters (Table 2). In psychotropic intoxications, AKI occurred in 14 (14.6%) patients, with the highest prevalence found in the following: a) heroin three (60%); b) methadone six (40%); c) neuroleptics three (25%); d) anticonvulsants one (17.7%); and e) antidepressants one (8.3%). In chemical intoxications, AKI was reported in seven (15.9%) patients, with the highest prevalence in ethylene glycol (1; 100%) and herbicides (1; 33.3%), followed by insecticides (3; 20%) and corrosives (2; 16.7%).

With stage I acute renal injury there were two (9.53%) patients, stage II had six (28.57%) patients, and stage III consisted of 13 (61.90%) patients in need of renal replacement therapy. A total of 13 (9.3%) patients with RML received renal replacement therapy, of which nine (69.2%) had psychotropic intoxication and four (30.8%) had chemical intoxication. The analysis showed a significantly higher prevalence of renal replacement therapy (RRT) in psychotropics compared to chemical intoxications (difference 38.4% [(20.7–52.7) 95% CI]; $\chi^2 = 18,036$; df = 1; p = 0.0001). Out of 21 patients diagnosed with AKI, 13 (61.9%) received RRT, while eight (38.1%) did not require this therapy.

In the group of psychotropic intoxications, RRT was applied in 9 (9.4%) patients. The prevalence of RRT according to etiological cause was the highest in the following: a) heroin two (40%); and b) methadone four (26.7%); followed by c) neuroleptics three (25%). In chemical intoxications, RRT was applied in four (9.1%) patients. The prevalence of RRT according to etiological cause was highest in ethylene glycol (1; 100%) and herbicides (1; 33.3%), followed by insecticides (1; 6.7%) and corrosives (1; 8.3%).

We analyzed the association of AKI with selected parameters such as aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), creatine kinase (CK), troponin, and myoglobin obtained on the first day of hospitalization of patients with RML (Table 3).

We found that patients with AKI from the whole sample with RML as well as those in the psychotropic intoxications group had significantly higher values for all selected parameters compared to those without AKI. However, the whole sample with RML had insignificantly higher values for Na (p = 0.89) (Table 3). Regarding the group with

Parameter Total								
Type of intoxication								
Psychotropic	n (%)	14 (66.67)						
Chemical	n (%)	7 (33.33)						
Difference test: Difference 33.34% df 1; p 0.0001*								
Sex								
Dauchatropic	Men n (%)	13 (92.86)						
Psychotropic	Women n (%)	1 (7.14)						
Chemical	Men n (%)	3 (42.86)						
Chemical	Women n (%)	4 (57.14)						
Pearson's x ² test 6.4312 df 1; p 0.011213*								
Age								
	$\overline{X} \pm SD$	39.93 ± 13.41						
Psychotropic	Min/Max	26/53						
	Median (IQR)	40 (36–47)						
	$\overline{X} \pm SD$	57.86 ± 15.18						
Chemical	Min/Max	41/82						
	Median (IQR)	54 (52–65)						
Mann-Whitney II Test: 7 -3 0597: n 0 002221*								

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population

Mann–Whitney U Test: Z -3.0597; p 0.002221

*Significant for p < 0.05

Table 2. Etiological agent of acute kidney injury (AKI) and renal

 replacement therapy (RRT) in patients with rhabdomyolysis

Etiological agents		Total	AKI		RRT	
Etioid	ogical agents	Ν	Ν	%	N	%
1	Benzodiazepines	20	0	0	0	0
2	Neuroleptics	12	3	25	3	25
3	Anticonvulsants	6	1	16.7	0	0
4	Antidepressants	12	1	8.3	0	0
5	Antiparkinsonic	2	0	0	0	0
6	Heroin	5	3	60	2	40
7	Methadon	15	6	40	4	26
8	Amfetamines	4	0	0	0	0
9	Cocain	1	0	0	0	0
10	Tramadol	3	0	0	0	0
11	Ethyl alcohol	15	0	0	0	0
12	Canabis	1	0	0	0	0
13	Other	1	0	0	0	0
14	Calcium-channel inhibitor	2	0	0	0	0
15	Herbicides	3	1	33.3	1	33.3
16	СО	7	0	0	0	0
17	Other gases	1	0	0	0	0
18	Gasoline	2	0	0	0	0
19	Ehylen glycol	1	1	100	1	100
20	Insecticides	15	3	20	1	6.67
21	Corrosive agents	12	2	16.7	1	8.3
	Total	140	21	15	13	9.3

chemical intoxications, we found that patients with AKI had insignificantly higher values for the following parameters: Na (p = 0.311), K (p = 0.22), Ca (p = 0.25), AST (p = 0.3277), ALT (p = 0.9616), and high-sensitivity troponin I (p = 0.0051) compared to those without AKI. For the other parameters, the values observed in patients with AKI in this group were insignificantly higher compared to those without AKI for CK (p = 0.8348) and myoglobin (p = 0.1127) (Table 3).

In the whole sample as well as individually in the groups with psychotropic or chemical intoxication, we found that the level of CK on the first day was higher in patients with RRT compared to those without this therapy (Table 4). CK values for median IQR in patients without/with RRT were as follows: a) whole sample – 634 (339.6–1532) *vs*. 22,357 (3350–42,670) U/L; b) psychotropic – 753 (446–753) *vs*. 42,670 (22,357–42,670) U/L; and c) chemical – 478.3 (321.5–1111.9) *vs*. 648.6 (495.6–2065) U/L. In the whole sample and in psychotropic intoxications, this difference was significant (p = 0.00004 *vs*. p = 0.00002), while in the chemical ones it was insignificant (p = 0.2885).

The value of myoglobin on the first day in the whole sample as well as individually in the groups with psychotropic or chemical intoxications was higher in patients with RRT compared to those without this therapy (Table 4). Myoglobin values for median IQR in patients without/with RRT were as follows: a) whole sample - 155.3 (126.8-425.2) vs. 1018.5 (604.3-3741.5); b) psychotropic - 186.2 (12.7-568.4) vs. 1308.5 (1018.5-6421.5); and c) chemical - 140.7 (126.8-291.1) vs. 454.5 (227.4-604.3). In the whole sample and in psychotropic intoxications group, this difference was significant (p = 0.00002 vs. p =0.00003), while in the chemical intoxications group it was insignificant ($p \neg 0.1081$). The value of troponin on the first day in the whole sample as well as individually in the groups with psychotropic or chemical intoxications was higher in patients with RRT compared to those without RRT (Table 4). High-sensitivity troponin I values for median IQR in patients without/with RRT were as follows: a) whole sample – 3.1 (1.3–6.9) vs. 83.9 (14.1–111.1); b) psychotropic – 2.3 (1.3–6.8) vs. 73.4 (19.9–121.3); and c) chemical - 3.7 (2.2-8.2) vs. 94.5 (5.2-101). In all, this difference was significant for the consequent p = 0.00004 vs. p = 0.00003 vs. p = 0.0481.

The results of Fisher's exact test (p = 0.018) indicate a significant association between AKI and the used substance in poisoning (Table 5). The adjusted residuals showed a significantly higher presentation of AKI in poisoning with heroin, methadone in the psychotropic group, and ethylene glycol in the chemical group and significantly lower presentation in poisoning with benzodiazepines in the psychotropic group than expected.

The adjusted residuals were used as a parameter to present the significance of the difference between the AKI+ and AKI- groups for each type of poisoning.

A logistic regression was performed to ascertain the effects of age, sex, group of substances, creatinine, and creatine phosphokinase (CPK) on the likelihood that participants have AKI. The logistic regression model was statistically significant, $\chi^2(5) = 83.389$, p < 0.0001 (Table 6). The model explained 78% (Nagelkerke R²) of the variance in AKI and correctly classified (percentage accuracy in classification) 95.7% of cases, with PPV being 94.2% and NPV 95.9%. Only creatinine was a significant predictor of the likelihood that participants had AKI. The increase in creatinine for one unit was 1.05 times more likely to exhibit AKI with 95% CI 1.016–1.083. Increasing CPK, age, use of psychotropic drugs, and male sex insignificantly increased the likelihood of exhibiting AKI.

Table 3. Acute kidney injury and laboratory parameters according to the type of intoxication

						Percentiles					
Pa	rame	tar	N	Average	Standard	0.5.1	50th	75.1	р		
				(Mean)	deviation	25th	(median)	75th			
					Psy	choactive					
	A 1/1	no	82	4.9	3	3.3	4.5	5.5	Z -5.555;		
	AKI	yes	14	18.1	10.4	9.9	14.1	25.3	p > 0.001*		
	Chemical										
BUN	AKI	no	37	5.9	2.5	4.1	5.4	6.8	Z - 2.087;		
	AKI	yes	7	10.3	5.9	5.9	7.3	16.1	p 0.037*		
						Total					
	AKI	no	119	5.2	2.9	3.5	4.7	5.8	Z -5.906;		
	AN	yes	21	15.5	9.7	7	12.6	22.7	p > 0.01*		
					psy	choactive					
	AKI	no	82	81	18.9	65.8	78	91.6	Z -5.949;		
	AN	yes	14	332.7	255.4	209.8	277.1	359.3	p > 0.001*		
ine					с	hemical					
Creatinine	A 1/1	no	37	86.9	23.4	73.8	83	105.1	Z -2.070;		
Cre	AKI	yes	7	170.6	103.7	69	145.8	279	p 0.038*		
						Total					
	A 1/1	no	119	82.8	20.5	67	79	96	Z -6.143;		
	AKI	yes	21	278.6	227.5	143.4	272.9	333.9	p > 0.01*		
					Psy	choactive					
Na		no	82	137.5	4.3	136	138	139.6	Z -2.915;		
	AKI	yes	14	131.1	7.6	125	131.5	139	p 0.004*		
	Chemical										
		no	37	137.8	3.5	136	137	140.1	z -1.012;		
	AKI	yes	7	141.6	8.5	134.6	140	144	p 0311		
	Total										
		no	119	137.6	4.1	136	138	139.8	Z -1.699;		
	AKI	yes	21	134.6	9.2	129	134.6	139.6	p 0.89		
	Psychoactive										
		no	82	4	0.7	3.6	3.9	4.5	Z - 3.630;		
	AKI	yes	14	5.4	1.2	4.5	6	6.3	p > 0.001*		
					C	hemical					
×	A 1/1	no	37	4.5	1	3.9	4.1	4.7	Z -1.220;		
	AKI	yes	7	4.5	0.8	4.2	4.7	5.1	p 0.22		
						Total					
	АКІ	no	119	4.2	0.8	3.7	4	4.6	Z -3.588;		
		yes	21	5.1	1.1	4.2	5	6.1	p > 0.01*		
					Psy	choactive					
		no	82	2.3	0.3	2.2	2.2	2.4	Z - 2.760;		
	AKI	yes	14	2.1	0.2	2	2.1	2.2	p 0.006*		
						hemical					
Ca		no	37	2.32	0.27	2.150	2.400	2.485	Z -1.141;		
	AKI	yes	7	2.26	0.17	2.100	2.290	2.390	P 0.25		
						Total					
		no	119	2.3	0.3	2.2	2.3	2.4	Z 4.277;		
	AKI	yes	21	2.1	0.2	2.1	2.1	2.3	P 0.008*		
		,									

Art by esno8276.9140.52436.559.3Z 5.6576; p 0.0001*Vert es14990.9669.27338221171p 0.0001*Art Mino3781.9161.325.53464.1Z 0.9787; p 0.3277Art Mino3781.9161.325.53464.1Z 0.9787; p 0.3277Artno11978.4146.6253661.8Z 5.1646; p 0.0001*Artno119775.469479778.61052p 0.0001*Artno8256.3162.11724.638Z 5.5901; p 0.0001*Artno8256.3162.11724.638Z 5.5901; p 0.0001*Artno8256.3162.11724.638Z 5.5901; p 0.0001*Artno8356.3162.11724.638Z 5.5901; p 0.0001*Artno8156.3116.1212654Z 0.0481; p 0.0001*Mino11960.114917.42541.6Z 4.6861; p 0.0001*Mino11960.114917.42541.6Z 5.6368; p 0.0001*Mino11950.11316.199294.153.3p 0.0001*Mino119218.2348.6315.6342.72.6368; p 0.0001* <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Psv</th> <th>choactive</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						Psv	choactive					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \hline \belticul{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c$			no	82	76.9			36.5	59.3	Z 5.6576;		
Image: Probability of the state of		AKI		14								
MAyes7344.5556.82938.7804.6p<0.3271ARIno11978.4146.6253661.8Z5.1646;Wes21775.469479778.61052p<0.0001*MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno3768.6116.1212654Z0.0001*MAIno3768.6116.1212654Z0.0481;yes7813.11950.31526294.1p<09616Jone7813.11950.31526294.1p<09616Jone7813.11950.3152624.1p<0.0001*MAIno81813.11950.3152624.1p<0.0001*Jone782.13186.8338.9709.51701ZZ26.368;MAI1037278.2854.1339.6491.11119.5Z20.008;Mai </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>/</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>С</td> <td>hemical</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td></td>			/			С	hemical		I			
MAyes7344.5556.82938.7804.6p<0.3271ARIno11978.4146.6253661.8Z5.1646;Wes21775.469479778.61052p<0.0001*MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno8256.3162.11724.638Z5.5901;MAIno3768.6116.1212654Z0.0001*MAIno3768.6116.1212654Z0.0481;yes7813.11950.31526294.1p<09616Jone7813.11950.31526294.1p<09616Jone7813.11950.3152624.1p<0.0001*MAIno81813.11950.3152624.1p<0.0001*Jone782.13186.8338.9709.51701ZZ26.368;MAI1037278.2854.1339.6491.11119.5Z20.008;Mai </td <td rowspan="2">AST</td> <td></td> <td>no</td> <td>37</td> <td>81.9</td> <td>161.3</td> <td>25.5</td> <td>34</td> <td>64.1</td> <td>Z 0.9787;</td>	AST		no	37	81.9	161.3	25.5	34	64.1	Z 0.9787;		
Art no 119 78.4 146.6 25 36 61.8 Z 5.1646; p 0.0001* yes 21 775.4 694 79 778.6 1052 p 0.0001* Art no 82 56.3 162.1 17 24.6 38 Z 5.5901; p 0.0001* Art no 82 56.3 162.1 17 24.6 38 Z 5.5901; p 0.0001* Art no 82 56.3 162.1 17 24.6 38 Z 5.5901; p 0.0001* Art no 37 68.6 116.1 21 26 54 Z 0.0481; p 0.901* yes 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 9.9016 Art no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 2 4.6861; p 0.9001* yes 1 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.0001* Yes 14 3850.1 3186.8 338		AKI	yes	7	344.5	556.8	29	38.7	804.6	p 0.3277		
AKI yes 21 775.4 694 79 778.6 1052 p<0.0001* AKI no 82 56.3 162.1 17 24.6 38 Z 5.5901; yes p<0.00001*							Total					
yes 21 775.4 694 79 778.6 1052 p 0.00001* AKI no 82 56.3 162.1 17 24.6 38 Z 5.5901; p 0.00001* AKI no 82 56.3 162.1 17 24.6 38 Z 5.5901; p 0.00001* AKI no 37 68.6 116.1 21 26 54 Z 0.0481; p 0.9616 AKI no 37 68.6 116.1 21 26 294.1 p 0.9616 AKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.90001* MKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.0001* yes 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.0001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.0001* yes 7 816.1		A 1/1	no	119	78.4	146.6	25	36	61.8	Z 5.1646;		
AKI no 82 56.3 162.1 17 24.6 38 Z 5.5901; p 0.00001* Ves 14 734.1 952.4 182 353 632 p 0.00001* AKI no 37 68.6 116.1 21 26 54 Z 0.0481; p 0.90616 Ves 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Ves 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Vest 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Vest 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Vest 14 352.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; yes 14 352.2 3480.9 15146.5 3427.2 42670 p 0.0001* A		AKI	yes	21	775.4	694	79	778.6	1052	p 0.00001*		
AKI yes 14 734.1 952.4 182 353 632 p 0.0001* AKI no 37 68.6 116.1 21 26 54 Z 0.0481; p 0.9616 AKI yes 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Ves 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Ves 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Ves 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Ves 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.0001* Ves 14 3852.1 3480.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.00001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 2 0.20286;												
yes 14 734.1 952.4 182 353 632 p 0.00001* AKI no 37 68.6 116.1 21 26 54 Z 0.0481; p 0.9616 AKI no 37 68.6 116.1 21 26 294.1 p 0.9616 AKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.0001* AKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.0001* yes 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.0001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.0001* yes 14 3852.1 34806.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.0001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 yes 7 891.6 11			no	82	56.3	162.1	17	24.6	38	Z 5.5901;		
Image No 37 68.6 116.1 21 26 54 Z 0.0481; p 0.9616 Ves 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 Total AKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.0001* yes 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.0001* yes 14 3850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.0001* yes 14 38522.1 34806.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.0001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 Ves 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.8348 Ves 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.0002* AKI no 78 153.3		AKI	yes	14	734.1	952.4	182	353	632	p 0.00001*		
AKI yes 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 AKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.00001* AKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.00001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.00001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.00001* Yes 14 38522.1 34806.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.00001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 Ves 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.0002* AKI no 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 4.2747; p 0.0000* Yes						C	hemical					
yes 7 813.1 1950.3 15 26 294.1 p 0.9616 AKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.00001* AKI no 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.00001* Yes 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.00001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.0001* yes 14 38522.1 34806.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.00001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 yes 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.00002* AKI no 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 4.2747; p 0.00002* Yes 14 121.2 1	ALT		no	37	68.6	116.1	21	26	54	Z 0.0481;		
No 119 60.1 149 17.4 25 41.6 Z 4.6861; p 0.0001* yes 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.0001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.0001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.00001* Yes 14 38522.1 34806.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.00001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 V v 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.8348 AKI no 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 4.2747; p 0.0002* AKI no 78 15.3 59.2 1.3 2.2 5.8 Z 4.3535; p 0.0001* yes 14		AN	yes	7	813.1	1950.3	15	26	294.1	p 0.9616		
AKI yes 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.0001* AKI ves 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.0001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.00001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.00001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 Ves 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.8348 Ves 21 25978.6 3340.5 780 15146.5 42670 p 0.0002* MKI no <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Total</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							Total					
yes 21 760.5 1316.1 99 294.1 533.3 p 0.00001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.00001* AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.00001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.8348 Wes 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.8348 Ves 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.0002* AKI no 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 4.2747; yes 21 25978.6 33440.5 780 15146.5 42670 p 0.0002* yes 14 121.2 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>no</td><td>119</td><td>60.1</td><td>149</td><td>17.4</td><td>25</td><td>41.6</td><td>Z 4.6861;</td></td<>			no	119	60.1	149	17.4	25	41.6	Z 4.6861;		
AKI no 82 1850.1 3186.8 338.9 709.5 1701 Z 5.6368; p 0.00001* Yes 14 38522.1 34806.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.00001* AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.38348 AKI no 37 2782.7 8541.1 339.6 491.1 1119.5 Z 0.2086; p 0.38348 HAKI no 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 2.4.2747; p 0.00002* AKI no 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 2.4.2747; p 0.00002* MKI no 78 15.3 59.2 1.3 2.2 5.8 Z 4.3535; p p 0.0001* Yes 14 121.2 165.1 8.3 62.5 121.3 p<0.0001*		AN	yes	21	760.5	1316.1	99	294.1	533.3	p 0.00001*		
AKI yes 14 38522.1 34806.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.00001* X Image: Second Secon						Psy	choactive					
yes 14 38522.1 34806.9 15146.5 34227.2 42670 p 0.00001* VO Image: Second Seco			no	82	1850.1	3186.8	338.9	709.5	1701	Z 5.6368;		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		AN	yes	14	38522.1	34806.9	15146.5	34227.2	42670	p 0.00001*		
AKI yes 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.8348 Vertical Vertical 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.8348 AKI No 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 4.2747; yes 21 25978.6 33440.5 780 15146.5 42670 p<0.00002*		Chemical										
yes 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.8348 Ves 7 891.6 1105 465.5 517.2 780 p 0.8348 Total AKI no 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 4.2747; yes 21 25978.6 33440.5 780 15146.5 42670 p 0.00002* AKI no 78 15.3 59.2 1.3 2.2 5.8 Z 4.3535; yes 14 121.2 165.1 8.3 62.5 121.3 p 0.00001* 4KI no 35 12.4 26.1 1.4 3.6 7.2 Z 2.8014; yes 5 65.6 39.8 46.4 81 94.5 p 0.0051* Total Total Total AKI no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7	CK	VKI	no	37	2782.7	8541.1	339.6	491.1	1119.5	Z 0.2086;		
AKI no 119 2140.1 5423.6 338.9 633 1492 Z 4.2747; p p 0.00002* V Psychoactive AKI no 78 15.3 59.2 1.3 2.2 5.8 Z 4.3535; p 0.00001* V AKI no 78 15.1 8.3 62.5 121.3 p 0.00001* V V V 0.00001* MKI no 35 12.4 26.1 1.4 3.6 7.2 Z 2.8014; p 0.0051* V Total 34.4 81 94.5 p 0.0051* V Total 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;			yes	7	891.6	1105	465.5	517.2	780	p 0.8348		
AKI yes 21 25978.6 33440.5 780 15146.5 42670 p 0.0002* AKI no 78 15.3 59.2 1.3 2.2 5.8 Z 4.3535; yes 14 121.2 165.1 8.3 62.5 121.3 p 0.0001* 4KI no 35 12.4 26.1 1.4 3.6 7.2 Z 2.8014; yes 5 65.6 39.8 46.4 811 94.5 p 0.0051* Total Total AKI no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;		Total										
vyes 21 25978.6 33440.5 780 15146.5 42670 p 0.00002* Psychoactive AKI no 78 15.3 59.2 1.3 2.2 5.8 Z 4.3535; yes 14 121.2 165.1 8.3 62.5 121.3 p 0.0001* AKI no 35 12.4 26.1 1.4 3.6 7.2 Z 2.8014; yes 5 65.6 39.8 46.4 81 94.5 p 0.0051* Total AKI no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;		ΔΚΙ	no	119	2140.1	5423.6	338.9	633	1492	Z 4.2747;		
AKI no 78 15.3 59.2 1.3 2.2 5.8 Z 4.3535; p 0.0001* Ves 14 121.2 165.1 8.3 62.5 121.3 p 0.0001* Chemical AKI no 35 12.4 26.1 1.4 3.6 7.2 Z 2.8014; p 0.0051* Vestical Vestical Vestical Vestical AKI no 35 12.4 26.1 1.4 3.6 7.2 Z 2.8014; p 0.0051* Vestical			yes	21	25978.6	33440.5	780	15146.5	42670	p 0.00002*		
AKI yes 14 121.2 165.1 8.3 62.5 121.3 p 0.0001* H ves 12.4 26.1 1.4 3.6 7.2 Z 2.8014; p 0.0051* H ves 5 65.6 39.8 46.4 81 94.5 p 0.0051* Total AKI no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;		Psychoactive										
yes 14 121.2 165.1 8.3 62.5 121.3 p 0.00001* Chemical AKI no 35 12.4 26.1 1.4 3.6 7.2 Z 2.8014; yes 5 65.6 39.8 46.4 81 94.5 p 0.0051* Total AKI no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;		ΔΚΙ	no	78	15.3	59.2	1.3	2.2	5.8	Z 4.3535;		
Total no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;	-		yes	14	121.2	165.1	8.3	62.5	121.3	p 0.00001*		
Total no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;	nin	Chemical										
Total no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;	rop	ΔΚΙ	no	35	12.4	26.1	1.4	3.6	7.2	Z 2.8014;		
Total no 113 14.4 51.2 1.3 2.7 6.3 Z 5.1180;	1S-T	yes 5 65.6 39.8 46.4					46.4	81	94.5	p 0.0051*		
				1								
		ΔΚΙ	no	113	14.4	51.2	1.3	2.7	6.3			
yes 19 106.6 143.7 8.3 67 101 p 0.00001*			yes	19	106.6	143.7	8.3	67	101	p 0.00001*		
Psychoactive						Psy	choactive					
AKI no 80 754.2 1565.4 123 160.5 539.9 Z 4.3098;		АКІ	no	80								
yes 13 2461 2549.3 1003 1336.9 1972 p 0.00002*			yes	13	2461	2549.3	1003	1336.9	1972	p 0.00002*		
Image: Figure	nidc											
The second sec	ogle	ΔΚΙ	no	35	257.3	280	126.8	138.9	291.1	Z 1.5826;		
Image: Second system Yes 7 323.5 208.1 142.4 314 586.6 p 0.1127	My	7 0 10	yes	7	323.5	208.1	142.4	314	586.6	p 0.1127		
Total							Total					
AKI no 115 603 1332 123.3 154 376.6 Z 4.2768;		AKI	no	115	603	1332	123.3	154	376.6	Z 4.2768;		
ANI yes 20 1712.9 2283.1 318.3 1001.5 1408 p 0.00002*		744	yes	20	1712.9	2283.1	318.3	1001.5	1408	p 0.00002*		

AKI – acute kidney injury; AST – aspartate aminotransferase; ALT – alanine aminotransferase; CK – creatine kinase; hs-troponin I – high-sensitivity troponin I; BUN – blood urea nitrogen;

Mann-Whitney U test Z;

*significant for p < 0.05

DISCUSSION

The most serious complication of RML is AKI, which in our analysis is present in 15% of patients with acute intoxication. This is in accordance with a previously published study which indicates a prevalence of AKI of 5–30% in patients with RML [9].

In a study by Mousavi et al. [10], the prevalence of AKI was 15% of 114 patients acutely intoxicated with RML, which is compatible with our results. The prevalence of AKI in acute intoxication with RML was 37.1% in the

retrospective study by Rogliano et al. [11]. In patients with RML acutely intoxicated, the prevalence of AKI was 16.8%, according to a group of authors [12]. Possible explanations for this discrepancy are methodological differences.

The prevalence of AKI is significantly higher in psychotropic compared to chemical intoxications. AKI in patients intoxicated with psychotropic substances is registered in overdose with heroin – 60%, methadone – 40%, followed by antipsychotics – 25%, anticonvulsants – 17.7%, and antidepressants – 8.3%. In chemical intoxications, AKI is registered in 15.9% of patients. The prevalence of AKI is the

1	5	1
	2	ł

Type of intoxication			Renal replace	ement therapy	
Type of intoxication		No – N (%) Yes – N (%)		р	
Psychotropic		N (%) 87 (90.63) 9 (9.38)			
Chen	nical	N (%)	40 (90.91)	4 (9.09)	Fisher's exact test: p 0.9571
Total		N (%)	127 (90.91)	13 (9.29)	
		$\overline{X} \pm SD$	3413 ± 10726	43786 ± 34398.2	
	Psychotropic	Min/Max	51/93950	10776/22357	Z -4.7325; p 0.00002*
		Median (IQR)	753 (446–753)	42670 (22357-42670)	
		$\overline{X} \pm SD$	2602.1 ± 8231.4	1280.3 ± 1386.4	
S	Chemical	Min/Max	65.4/45404	474/3350	Z -1.0614; p 0.2885
		Median (IQR)	478.3 (321.5–1111.9)	648.6 (495.6–2065)	
		$\overline{X} \pm SD$	3157.7 ± 9981.9	30707.3 ± 34731	
	Total	Min/Max	51/9395	474/129077	Z -4.1176; p 0.00004*
		Median (IQR)	634 (339.6–1532)	22357 (3350–42670)	
Myoglobin	Psychotropic	$\overline{X} \pm SD$	778.9 ± 1527.7	3265.9 ± 2996.8	
		Min/Max	54.3/7213	954/7676	Z -3.6583; p 0.0002*
		Median (IQR)	186.2 (12.7–568.4)	1308.5 (1018.5–421.5)	-
	Chemical	$\overline{X} \pm SD$	252.8 ± 269.8	415.8 ± 231.5	
		Min/Max	82.7/1467	132.2/622	Z -1,6068; p 0,1081
Myo		Median (IQR)	140.7 (126.8–291.1)	454.5 (227.4–604.3)	
		$\overline{X} \pm SD$	616.3 ± 1299.5	2315.9 ± 2774.7	
	Total	Min/Max	54.3/7213	132.2/7676	Z -3.8078; p 0.0001*
		Median (IQR)	155.3 (126.8–425.2)	1018.5 (604.3–3741.5)	
hs-troponin l		$\overline{X} \pm SD$	21.7 ± 79.5	122.4 ± 139.8	
	Psychotropic	Min/Max	0.3/515	1.5/299.1	Z -3.614; p 0.0003*
		Median (IQR)	2.3 (1.3–6.8)	73.4 (19.9– 121.3)	
		$\overline{X} \pm SD$	15.2 ± 28.3	66.9 ± 53.5	
	Chemical	Min/Max	0.6/138.4	5.2/101	Z -1.977; p 0.0481*
		Median (IQR)	3.7 (2.2–8.2)	94.5 (5.2–101)	
4		$\overline{X} \pm SD$	19.6 ± 67.9	108.5 ± 123.9	
	Total	Min/Max	0.3/515	1.5/399.1	Z -4.1003; p 0.00004*
		Median (IQR)	3.1 (1.3–6.9)	83.9 (14.1–111.1)	

Table 4. Renal replacement therapy and selected parameters by type of intoxication

hs-troponin I – high-sensitivity troponin I; Mann–Whitney U test Z;

*significant for p < 0.05

highest in ethylene glycol (100%) and herbicides (33.3%), followed by insecticides (20%), and corrosives (16.7%).

The most common cause of AKI in patients with RML acutely intoxicated is opioid overdose, according to one study by a group of authors [12]. These observations are in line with ours. We found significantly higher presentation of AKI in poisoning with heroin, methadone, in the psychotropic group. According to a group of authors, AKI is associated with a higher rate of opioid and cocaine use in patients with RML [13]. Rogliano et al. [11] reported that overdoses with beta-blockers, calcium-channel inhibitors, acetaminophen, colchicine, lithium, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors / angiotensin II-receptor-blockers were significantly associated with RML.

RML is not the only cause of AKI in acutely intoxicated patients, unlike RML resulting from trauma. According to our analysis, patients intoxicated with chemicals who developed AKI were in the group with mild to moderate RML depending on the CK value. AKI in intoxications with ethylene glycol, insecticides, and concentrated acetic acid is due to their nephrotoxic action. Metabolites in ethylene glycol poisoning such as oxalic acid are responsible for the associated end-organ injury, nephrotoxicity. Oxalic acid deposits in renal tubules as insoluble calcium oxalate monohydrate, leading to proximal tubular necrosis. The exact mechanism in organophosphate poisoning is unknown but it may be multifactorial, including direct renal toxicity, or secondary to dehydration/hemodynamic instability causing renal hypoperfusion, or seizure and muscular fasciculation-related RML [14]. Coma, shock, hemolysis, and anuric kidney injury have been reported with poisoning with acetic acid [15].

The results indicate that certain toxic agents in acutely intoxicated patients with RML may play an important role in the development of AKI. We found that patients with AKI acutely intoxicated with RML as well as those intoxicated with psychotropic substances had significantly higher values for creatine, blood urea nitrogen, Na, K, Ca, CK, AST, ALT, troponin, and myoglobin compared with those without AKI. Compatible findings of our analysis are found in the study by Mousavi et al. [10], for a significant positive correlation between serum creatinine values and CK values. Regarding the increased risk of developing

	AKI No		Ak	(I Yes	Adjusted	
Agents	N	%	N	%	residuals	
Benzodiazepine	20	16.81	0	0	-2*	
Neuroleptics	9	7.56	3	14.29	1	
Anticonvulsants	5	4.2	1	4.76	0.1	
Antidepressants	11	9.24	1	4.76	-0.7	
Antiparkinsonic	2	1.68	0	0	-0.6	
Heroin	2	1.68	3	14.29	2.9*	
Methadone	9	7.56	6	28.57	2.9*	
Amphetamine	4	3.36	0	0	-0.9	
Cocaine	1	0.84	0	0	-0.4	
Tramadol	3	2.52	0	0	-0.7	
Ethyl alcohol	15	12.61	0	0	-1.7	
Cannabis	1	0.84	0	0	-0.4	
Other	1	0.84	0	0	-0.4	
Calcium-channel inhibitor	2	1.68	0	0	-0.6	
herbicides	2	1.68	1	4.76	0.9	
СО	7	5.88	0	0	-1.1	
Other gases	1	0.84	0	0	-0.4	
Gasoline	2	1.68	0	0	-0.6	
Ethylene glycol	0	0	1	4.76	2.4*	
Insecticides	12	10.08	3	14.29	0.6	
Corrosive agents	10	8.4	2	9.52	0.2	
Total	119	100	21	100	-	

Table 5. Etiological agents in poisoned patients who developed rhabdomyolysis with vs. without acute kidney injury (AKI)

Table 6. Predictive parameters for developing acute kidney injury in patients with rhabdomyolysis

Parameters	В	S.E.	Sig.	OR	95% CI for OR		
Parameters	D	3.E.			Lower	Upper	
Creatinine	0.048	0.016	0.003	1.049	1.016	1.083	
СРК	0.000	0.000	0.180	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Sex	-0.993	1.196	0.406	0.371	0.036	3.859	
Age	0.046	0.038	0.230	1.047	0.971	1.128	
Substance (P/Ch)	-1.043	0.970	0.282	0.352	0.053	2.360	
Constant	-8.669	2.983	0.004	0.000			

CPK - creatine phosphokinase

complications such as AKI, similar findings were found in the study by Pajoum et al. [16]. Eizadi-Mood et al. [17] in the prospective study indicated that a CK value > 10,000 IU/L was associated with a higher complication rate and could be an acceptable predictor of outcome in intoxicated patients. In a retrospective study by Nielsen et al. [18], on patients with RML, elevated initial CK values were associated with an increased risk of AKI. In trauma patients, admission myoglobin better predicted AKI than admission CK [19]. Regarding the group with chemical intoxications, we found that patients with AKI have insignificantly higher values for AST, ALT, and troponin compared to those without AKI. CK and myoglobin values in this group were insignificantly higher in patients with AKI compared to those without AKI. We found that serum creatinine on admission in both groups is a predictor of AKI. According to the study by Rogliano et al. [11], serum creatinine ≥ 125 µmol/L on admission was the highest predictive variable for AKI in poisonings.

Recommendations to lower the risk of AKI in patients with RML include fluids to correct hypovolemia, achieve adequate diuresis with a goal urine output of 300 mL/h, and even dilute the released toxic endogenous metabolites, despite their relatively low level of evidence [2]. Bicarbonate, mannitol, and loop diuretics are not strong evidence for improved outcomes [2].

The need for RRT in our analysis in patients acutely intoxicated with RML is 9.3%. Intermittent RRT was used in our patients with RML due to acute intoxication with psychotropic and chemical substances. RRT was initiated two or three days after admission and our patients required one hemodialysis session.

According to the literature, the need for RRT in patients with RML ranges 4-20% [20]. The prevalence of RRT is significantly higher in psychotropic compared to chemical intoxications. In acutely intoxicated patients with RML and in the group with psychotropic intoxications, the level of CK on the first day is significantly higher in patients who need RRT compared to those who do not need this therapy, and in the group of chemical intoxications, this difference is insignificant. Eizadi-Mood et al. [17] in their study reported that in acutely intoxicated patients with coma increased CK values were associated with an increased need for dialysis. According to a study by Dadpour et al. [21], approximately 80% of patients with serum CK levels < 10,000 IU/L required dialysis. In contrast to our results, the study by Pajoum et al. [16] presents that there is no significant correlation between CK levels and the need for dialysis. Stopping RRT depends on multiple factors: resolution of the underlying cause, creatine level and the option of being managed effectively using other therapies (e.g., furosemide for fluid balance) [22]. In most patients with RML, renal function is restored within a few months [23, 24].

The limitation of the study is the small number of patients with RML as a result of acute intoxication. The small number of patients with AKI in most of the different types of poisoning limited the possibility of performing standard *post hoc* test for each of the used substances for poisoning. Urine output, which is an important clinical parameter, is missing.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of AKI and the necessity of RRT was significantly higher in psychotropic intoxication compared to chemical intoxication. Certain toxic agents in acutely intoxicated patients with RML may have an important role in the development of AKI. Serum creatinine on admission in acute intoxication is a predictor of AKI. In the group of psychotropic intoxications, RRT was used in overdoses with heroin, methadone, and antipsychotics, while in the chemical group it was used in those intoxicated with ethylene glycol, herbicides, insecticides, and corrosive agents. The level of high-sensitivity troponin I in both psychotropic and chemical group are significantly higher in patients who need RRT compared to those who do not need this therapy. Larger cohorts are needed to improve our findings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the University Clinic of Toxicology for facilitating this work, the physicians from the same clinic who contributed to the examination of these patients, and Lenche Danevska for English proofreading of the manuscript.

Part of the results was presented as a poster presentation at The 41st International Congress of the European Association of Poisons Centres and Clinical Toxicologists

REFERENCES

- Samuel HU, Balasubramaniyan T, Thirumavalavan S, Vasudevan C, Senthil Kumar RP, Murugesan V, et al. Rhabdomyolysis with myoglobin-induced acute kidney injury: A case series of four cases. Indian J Pathol Microbiol. 2021;64(2):382–4.
 [DOI: 10.4103/IJPM.IJPM_89_20] [PMID: 33851641]
- Long B, Koyfman A, Gottlieb M. An evidence-based narrative review of the emergency department evaluation and management of rhabdomyolysis. Am J Emerg Med. 2019;37(3):518–23. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ajem.2018.12.061] [PMID: 30630682]
- Ahmad S, Anees M, Elahi I, Fazal-E-Mateen. Rhabdomyolysis Leading to Acute Kidney Injury. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2021;31(2):235–7. [DOI: 10.29271/jcpsp.2021.02.235] [PMID: 33645199]
- Somagutta MR, Pagad S, Sridharan S, Nanthakumaran S, Arnold AA, May V, et.al. Role of Bicarbonates and Mannitol in Rhabdomyolysis: A Comprehensive Review. Cureus. 2020;12(8):e9742. [DOI: 10.7759/cureus.9742] [PMID: 32944457]
- Bosch X, Poch E, Grau JM. Rhabdomyolysis and acute kidney injury. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(1):62–72.
 [DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra0801327] Erratum in: N Engl J Med. 2011;364(20):1982. [PMID: 19571284]
- Yang J, Zhou J, Wang X, Wang S, Tang Y, Yang L. Risk factors for severe acute kidney injury among patients with rhabdomyolysis. BMC Nephrol. 2020;21(1):498. [DOI: 10.1186/s12882-020-02104-0] [PMID: 33225908]
- Weidhase L, de Fallois J, Haußig E, Kaiser T, Mende M, Petros S. Myoglobin clearance with continuous veno-venous hemodialysis using high cutoff dialyzer versus continuous veno-venous hemodiafiltration using high-flux dialyzer: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):644. [DOI: 10.1186/s13054-020-03366-8] [PMID: 33176824]
- Kellum JA, Lameire N; KDIGO AKI Guideline Work Group. Diagnosis, evaluation, and management of acute kidney injury: a KDIGO summary (Part 1). Crit Care. 2013;17(1):204. [DOI: 10.1186/cc11454] [PMID: 23394211]
- Stanley M, Chippa V, Aeddula NR, Quintanilla Rodriguez BS, Adigun R. Rhabdomyolysis. 2023 Apr 16. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan–. [PMID: 28846335]
- Mousavi SR, Vahabzadeh M, Mahdizadeh A, Vafaee M, Sadeghi M, Afshari R, et.al. Rhabdomyolysis in 114 patients with acute poisonings. J Res Med Sci. 2015;20(3):239–43. [PMID: 26109969]
- Rogliano PF, Voicu S, Labat L, Deye N, Malissin I, Laplanche JL, et al. Acute Poisoning with Rhabdomyolysis in the Intensive Care Unit: Risk Factors for Acute Kidney Injury and Renal Replacement Therapy Requirement. Toxics. 2020;8(4):79. [DOI: 10.3390/toxics8040079] [PMID: 32998294]
- Janković SR, Stosić JJ, Vucinić S, Vukcević NP, Ercegović GV. Causes of rhabdomyolysis in acute poisonings. Vojnosanit Pregl. 2013;70(11):1039–45. [DOI: 10.2298/vsp1311039j] [PMID: 24397200]

(EAPCCT) May 25–28, 2021, Virtual Meeting, and published as an abstract (Babulovska A, Caparoska D, Velikj V, Simonovska N, Pereska Z, Jurukov I, et al. Comparison of AKI and renal replacement therapy in patients with RML acutely intoxicated with psychotropic or chemical substances. Clinical Toxicology. 2021;59(6):537–602; EAPCCT; p. 563, abstract No. 344).

Conflict of interest: None declared.

- Yang CW, Li S, Dong Y, Paliwal N, Wang Y. Epidemiology and the Impact of Acute Kidney Injury on Outcomes in Patients with Rhabdomyolysis. J Clin Med. 2021;10(9):1950.
 [DOI: 10.3390/jcm10091950] [PMID: 34062839]
- Lee FY, Chen WK, Lin CL, Lai CY, Wu YS, Lin IC, et al. Organophosphate Poisoning and Subsequent Acute Kidney Injury Risk: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(47):e2107. [DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000002107] [PMID: 26632728]
- [DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000002107] [PMID: 26632728] 15. Brusin KM, Krayeva YV. Acetic Acid Poisoning: 400 Cases Reviewed.
- Asia Pac J Med Toxicol. 2012;1:3–9. [DOI: 10.22038/APJMT.2012.19]
 Pajoum A, Fahim F, Akhlaghdoust M, Zamani N, Amirfirooz Z, Dehdehasti M. Rhabdomyolysis and Acute Poisoning; a Brief Report. Emerg (Tehran). 2018;6(1):e56. [PMID: 30584572]
- Eizadi-Mood N, Sabzghabaee AM, Gheshlaghi F, Mehrzad F, Fallah Z. Admission creatine phosphokinase in acute poisoning: is it a predictive factor for the treatment outcome? J Pak Med Assoc. 2012;62(3 Suppl 2):S67–70. [PMID: 22768464]
- Nielsen FE, Cordtz JJ, Rasmussen TB, Christiansen CF. The Association Between Rhabdomyolysis, Acute Kidney Injury, Renal Replacement Therapy, and Mortality. Clin Epidemiol. 2020;12:989– 95. [DOI: 10.2147/CLEP.S254516] [PMID: 33061646]
- Tarazona V, Figueiredo S, Hamada S, Pochard J, Haines RW, Prowle JR, et.al. Admission serum myoglobin and the development of acute kidney injury after major trauma. Ann Intensive Care. 2021;11(1):140. [DOI: 10.1186/s13613-021-00924-3] [PMID: 34559325]
- Vangstad M, Bjornaas MA, Jacobsen D. Rhabdomyolysis: a 10-year retrospective study of patients treated in a medical department. Eur J Emerg Med. 2019;26(3):199–204. [DOI: 10.1097/MEJ.00000000000510] [PMID: 29068810]
- Dadpour B, Tajoddini S, Shaarbaf Eidgahi E, Shokouhizadeh M, Shafahi A. Role of Serum Creatinine Phosphokinase in Outcome Prediction of Intoxicated Patients; a Brief Report. Emerg (Tehran). 2017;5(1):e63. [PMID: 28894778]
- Mishra RC, Sodhi K, Prakash KC, Tyagi N, Chanchalani G, Annigeri RA, et al. ISCCM Guidelines on Acute Kidney Injury and Renal Replacement Therapy. Indian J Crit Care Med. 2022;26(Suppl 2):S13–S42. [DOI: 10.5005/jp-journals-10071-24109] [PMID: 36896356]
- Subashri M, Sujit S, Thirumalvalavan K, Poongodi A, Srinivasaprasad ND, Edwin Fernando M. Rhabdomyolysisassociated Acute Kidney Injury. Indian J Nephrol. 2023;33(2):114– 8. [DOI: 10.4103/ijn.ijn_247_21] [PMID: 37234438]
- Lim AKH, Azraai M, Pham JH, Looi WF, Bennett C. The Association Between Illicit Drug Use and the Duration of Renal Replacement Therapy in Patients With Acute Kidney Injury From Severe Rhabdomyolysis. Front Med (Lausanne). 2020;7:588114.
 [DOI: 10.3389/fmed.2020.588114] [PMID: 33240909]

Акутно оштећење бубрега и неопходност терапије замене функције бубрега код акутно интоксираних болесника са рабдомиолизом

Александра Бабуловска^{1,2}, Наташа Симоновска^{1,2}, Жанина Переска^{1,2}, Кирил Наумоски^{1,2}, Кристин Костадински^{1,2}, Биљана Ристова-Саздова²

Универзитет "Свети Ћирило и Методије" у Скопљу, Медицински факултет, Скопље, Северна Македонија;

²Универзитетска клиника за токсикологију, Медицински факултет, Скопље, Северна Македонија

САЖЕТАК

Увод/Циљ Ово истраживање је имало за циљ да анализира карактеристике селективних параметара повезаних са настанком акутног оштећења бубрега и неопходношћу терапије замене функције бубрега код болесника са рабдомиолизом услед акутне интоксикације психотропним и хемијским супстанцама у прва 24 часа.

Методе У клинички контролисаној проспективној студији, 140 болесника са рабдомиолизом подељено је у две групе у зависности од супстанце која је изазвала интоксикације – психотропне или хемијске. Болесници су одабрани пре-

ма унапред одређеним критеријумима за укључивање и искључивање.

Резултати Акутно оштећење бубрега јавило се код 15% од 140 болесника са рабдомиолизом, од којих је 14 (66,7%) имало психотропну интоксикацију, а седам (33,3%) хемијску интоксикацију. Статистичка анализа је показала значајно већу преваленцију у групи са психотропном интоксикацијом у односу на ону са хемијском интоксикацијом (*p* = 0,0002). Вредности креатин киназе за средњу вредност интерквартилног распона код болесника без

терапије и са терапијом замене функције бубрега биле су у случају психотропних супстанци 753 (446–753) наспрам 42.670 (22.357–42.670) *U/L*, док су за хемијске супстанце износиле 478,3 (321,5–1111,9) наспрам 648,6 (495,6–2065) *U/L*. Код психотропне интоксикације ова разлика је била значајна (p = 0,00002), док је код хемијске незнатна (p = 0,288). Терапија замене функције бубрега је спроведена код 13 (9,3%) болесника са рабдомиолизом, од којих је девет (69,2%) било са психотропном интоксикацијом, а четири (30,8%) болесника са хемијском интоксикацијом.

Закључак Преваленција акутног оштећења бубрега и потреба за терапијом замене функције бубрега биле су значајно веће код психотропне интоксизације у поређењу са хемијском интоксикацијом. Ниво креатин киназе и миоглобина првог дана код болесника са психотропном интоксикацијом, и високо осетљиви тропонин I у обе групе болесника – са психотропном и хемијском интоксикацијом – значајно су већи код болесника којима је неопходна терапија замене функције бубрега у односу на оне којима ова терапија није потребна.

Кључне речи: токсичност; креатин киназа; миоглобин