SUMMARY
Introduction Leiomyosarcoma of the penis (LSP) is an extremely rare form of penile tumor. LSP can be
divided into two subtypes: deep and superficial.
The goal of this paper is to present a very rare case of LSP.

Case outline On examination, the patient presented with a slowly “growing penile bump,” for which an
initial diagnosis of non-inflamed penile atheroma was given. Further diagnostic workup was omitted.
Outpatient excisional biopsy was performed, and the tumor was sent for pathohistological examination,
which revealed penile leiomyosarcoma. The patient has not received any further treatment. The most
recent follow up was two and a half years after surgery, and the patient continues to do well without
any complaints.

Conclusion LSP is an extremely rare disease, which can be cured if it is diagnosed in its early stage.
Pathohistological examination is necessary for diagnosing LSP.
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INTRODUCTION
The incidence of penile malignancy in Europe is less than one case per 100,000 men. The most
common type of penile malignancy is squamous cell carcinoma (more than 95%). The
remaining 5% is mostly comprised of melanoma, lymphoma, mesenchymal tumors, and
metastases. Leiomyosarcoma of the penis is an extremely rare penile tumor of mesenchymal
origin.

The goal of this paper is to present a very rare case of penile leiomyosarcoma and to re-
mind us of the existence, clinical course, treatment, and prognosis of this very rare subtype
of penile tumor.

CASE REPORT
A 25-year-old male patient presented to clinic concerned about a firm nodule in the middle
of his penile shaft. The nodule had been present for over a year, was not painful, and had
been slowly growing. The patient's history was significant for juvenile diabetes mellitus of 10
years’ duration, complicated by retinopathy leading to blindness. Exam revealed a painless,
oval shaped penile shaft tumor, approximately 1.5 × 1 cm. The tumor had an irregular surface
and was of a rubbery consistency. Examination of the abdomen and remaining external
genitalia was unremarkable and there was no groin lymphadenopathy. Clinical diagnosis of
a non-inflamed penile atheroma was made. No further workup except for routine preoperative
laboratory testing was pursued, with normal results.

Surgery was performed at an outpatient surgery center under local anesthesia. The tumor
was completely excised and sent for histological examination. Intraoperatively, the clinical
diagnosis was changed to penile fibroma due to its appearance and consistency. Histopatholog-
ic work-up included both routine hematoxylin and eosin staining and immunohistochemis-
try for smooth muscle actin, h-caldesmon, and S-100 protein (family of protein). Figure 1
contains four pictures: A and B – hematoxylin and eosin stain; C and D – immunoperoxidase
with hematoxylin counterstain. Low magnification (40×) shows fascicular configuration
(A). Higher magnification (400×) reveals con-
spicuous cytologic atypia and a mitotic figure
below the center of the field (B). Tumor cells
are strongly and diffusely immunopositive for
h-caldesmon (C) and negative for S-100 protein
with neural and perivascular structures as
an internal positive control (D). Based on these
findings, which are consistent with a malignant
tumor of smooth muscle origin, a pathological
diagnosis of penile leiomyosarcoma was made.

After obtaining the histopathology report,
further metastatic work-up was pursued. Ab-
dominal and pelvic computed tomography
scan revealed one enlarged inter aorto-caval
lymph node, 13 mm in size, though otherwise
without evidence of the disease. The patient
was followed with abdominal ultrasounds every three months for one year. No worrisome findings appeared on ultrasounds. One year after the surgery, the patient underwent repeat abdominal/pelvic computed tomography scan showing no signs of local or distant tumor recurrence, with the previously noted lymph node of unchanged size.

Further follow up was scheduled on an as-needed basis. The most recent follow up was two and a half years after surgery, and the patient continues to do well without any complaints or concerning symptoms.

DISCUSSION

Leiomyosarcoma of the penis is an extremely rare diagnosis. There are about 60 cases reported in the literature. The first case was described by Levi [1] in 1930. Clinically and histopathologically, there are two types of penile leiomyosarcoma: deep and superficial [2]. The more common superficial subtype originates from smooth muscle of superficial penile vessels (above tunica albuginea), dartos muscle of the penis, or erector pili muscle of the penile shaft. The deep subtype originates from the smooth muscle of the corpora cavernosa or spongiosa [2].

Recommended treatment of superficial penile leiomyosarcoma is wide local excision. This subtype has a much better prognosis compared to its deep counterpart. Superficial leiomyosarcoma shows a low recurrence rate and similarly low rates of metastasis (approximately 8%) [2, 3]. Incompletely resected superficial tumors tend to have a high recurrence rate and a wide re-excision should be pursued to guarantee negative margins. For deep leiomyosarcoma, partial or complete penectomy represent standard treatment. Lymph node involvement is rare and routine lymphadenectomy is not recommended [4]. Metastasis in the deep subtype can be up to 50%, with higher rates seen in patients with larger primary tumors [2].

The role of adjuvant chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy in the treatment of penile leiomyosarcoma is still not clear. However, due to the high rate of local recurrence and distant metastases even after complete excision of deep penile leiomyosarcoma, adjuvant chemotherapy and local radiation might be a reasonable option [5].

Because of the small number of cases reported so far, conclusions about standard treatment and prognosis of advanced leiomyosarcoma are difficult to draw [6].

In regard to this case specifically, the diagnosis of leiomyosarcoma came as a surprise to the performing urologist,
as the initial diagnosis of ‘fibroma’ or ‘atheroma’ gave way to the one of a penile cancer of extreme rarity. The authors would like once again to emphasize the importance of sending all excised tissue for routine histopathological examination, even in cases of clinically benign disease. In conclusion, because of the rarity of this disease, other than extirpative surgery for diagnosis, we lack firm recommendations for the optimal treatment of patients with these tumors (especially deep leiomyosarcoma). Each patient’s treatment should be individualized, and should rely heavily on the involvement of a multidisciplinary team, including a urologist, pathologist, oncologist and radiologist.
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